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#### Abstract

Nymphaea is the most speciose, phenotypically diverse, and geographically widespread (nearly global) genus of Nymphaeales. Phylogenetic relationships among 35 of an estimated 45-50 species of Nymphaea are presented based on an analysis of the chloroplast $\operatorname{trnT} \mathrm{trnF}$ region. Because this is the first phylogenetic analysis of Nymphaea, monophyly of the genus had to be tested, and its status in Nymphaeales had to be inferred. Rooting was therefore extended to more distant outgroups (Amborella, Austrobaileyales). Monophyly of Nymphaea received weak support, with a Euryale-Victoria clade appearing as sister. The three major lineages within Nymphaea are constituted by the northern temperate subg. Nymphaea that is sister to all remaining species, a subgg. Hydrocallis-Lotos clade, and a subgg. Anecphya-Brachyceras clade. The Australian genus Ondinea was nested at species level within Nymphaea subg. Anecphya. The pantropical subg. Brachyceras as currently circumscribed does not appear natural, with Nymphaea petersiana belonging to subg. Lotos. Microstructural changes are frequent and highly informative, exhibiting lower levels of homoplasy than substitutions. Reconstructing the evolution of microstructural changes shows a strong insertion bias in simple sequence repeats. Complex indels are often explained by mutational events that occurred independently in different parts of the tree rather than being the result of stepwise events at subsequent nodes. AT-rich, satellite-like sequence parts have evolved independently in the P8 stem loop of the trnL group I intron in Nuphar and in major lineages of Nymphaea. They seem to be conserved in sequence within species but are highly variable among species. Moreover, the $\operatorname{trnT-trnF}$ region provides a signal that allows recognition (bar coding) of most species analyzed so far.
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## Introduction

The water lily genus Nymphaea is the most speciose member of the order Nymphaeales. The monophyletic herbaceous Nymphaeales have been proposed as sister to all angiosperms after the New Caledonian endemic shrub Amborella based on evidence from multiple genes (Mathews and Donoghue 1999; Qiu et al. 1999; Soltis et al. 1999; Graham and Olmstead 2000; Zanis et al. 2002; Hilu et al. 2003) and rapidly evolving spacers and introns (Borsch et al. 2003, 2005; Löhne and Borsch 2005). An alternative hypothesis suggesting that a clade consisting of Amborella and Nymphaeales is sister to all other angiosperms (Barkman et al. 2000; Mathews and Donoghue 2000) has been favored by recent likelihood-based inferences (Leebens-Mack et al. 2005; Qiu et al. 2005). A consensus has been achieved for Austrobaileyales (Austrobaileyaceae, Illiciaceae, Schisandraceae, Trimeniaceae) as the next successive sister to the remaining angiosperms after Nymphaeales and Amborella (Zanis et al. 2002; Borsch et al. 2003, 2005; Hilu et al. 2003; Qiu et al. 2005). Among all these extant taxa, the Nymphaeales and Nymphaea are the only globally diverse group.

[^0]The Nymphaeales are now generally divided into two families (Schneider and Williamson 1993; Williamson and Schneider 1993), the Cabombaceae (Brasenia and Cabomba) and the Nymphaeaceae (Barclaya, Euryale, Nuphar, Nymphaea, Ondinea, and Victoria), with a total of ca. 70 species. Ito's (1987) phylogenetic analysis of Nymphaeales included Nelumbo and Ceratophyllum, based on morphological characters. In his tree, Nymphaea is sister to a Euryale plus Victoria clade. Using floral vasculature characters, Moseley et al. (1993) found support for the monophyly of the clade including Nymphaea, Euryale, and Victoria. The earliest molecular phylogenetic analyses (Les et al. 1991, 1999) found a well-supported topology of Nuphar (Barclaya (Ondinea (Nymphaea (Euryale + Victoria)))). All of these studies included only a single species of Nymphaea (Nymphaea odorata), thus leaving monophyly and relationships of the genus Nymphaea as open questions. Löhne and Borsch (2005) further added $N$. micrantha and $N$. heudelotii in an analysis of the petD data set for Nymphaeales, but these species appeared in an unresolved position with Victoria.

Nymphaea occurs almost worldwide, comprising 45-50 species in five subgenera (Anecphya [seven to 10 species], Brachyceras [14-16 species], Hydrocallis [14 species], Lotos [two to three species], and Nymphaea [eight species]). Each subgenus displays a characteristic distribution. Nymphaea subg. Nymphaea ranges throughout the Northern Hemisphere in temperate
regions, subg. Lotos is paleotropical, and subg. Hydrocallis is restricted to the Neotropics. Nymphaea subg. Brachyceras has a pantropical range, and subg. Anecphya is restricted to Australia and New Guinea. This widely accepted classification into five subgenera traces to Conard's (1905) monograph of Nymphaea. Conard grouped subgg. Anecphya and Brachyceras as Apocarpiae, on the basis of carpel walls that are only partially fused. He included subgg. Hydrocallis, Lotos, and Nymphaea in the Syncarpiae, with more complete carpel wall fusion. Conard's Apocarpiae and Syncarpiae reflect sections Leptopleura and Symphytopleura, previously published by Caspary (1865, 1888).

As indicated above, phenotypic characters have been used to infer relationships among genera of Nymphaeales (Ito 1987; Moseley et al. 1993; Les et al. 1999). However, the considerable differences in phenotypic characters present among subgenera within Nymphaea have never been analyzed in order to test monophyly of the genus. Differences among species of Nymphaea have been pointed out in floral morphology and anatomy (Caspary 1865, 1888; Conard 1905), capillary appendages (Wiersema 1988; Schneider and Williamson 1993), pollen morphology (Gabarayeva and El-Ghazaly 1997; Borsch 2000; Hesse and Zetter 2005), seed morphology (Weberbauer 1894; Collinson 1980; Wiersema 1987), and vegetative anatomy (Weidlich 1976a, 1976b). Species of subgg. Anecphya, Brachyceras, and Nymphaea, as well as Ondinea (Schneider 1983), are diurnally flowering, whereas subgg. Hydrocallis, Lotos, and Victoria are nocturnally flowering (Prance and Arias 1975; Wiersema 1988). Nocturnal flowering is associated with beetle pollination (Ervik and Knudsen 2003; Hirthe and Porembski 2003) and diurnal flowering with a variety of different pollinators, including hymenopterans, dipterans, and coleopterans (Wiersema 1988 and references therein). Flowers in Euryale have been reported as being predominantly cleistogamous in some populations (Kadono and Schneider 1987), which may be a derived feature. It remains to be tested whether the two predominant pollination syndromes (diurnal vs. nocturnal flowering) mark two evolutionary lineages, in line with a paraphyletic genus Nymphaea, or whether nocturnal flowering arose independently in subgg. Hydrocallis, Lotus, and Victoria.

Polyploidy plays an important role in Nymphaea evolution (Gupta 1980), although the state of knowledge is rather scarce and is based mostly on earlier studies (Langlet and Söderberg 1929; Gupta 1978, 1980; Okada and Tamura 1981). Chromosome counts indicate a base number of $\mathrm{x}=14$ for the genus, with polyploidy evident in all subgenera, especially subgg. Anecphya ( $2 \mathrm{n}=224$ ), which lacks counts for most species; Brachyceras ( $2 \mathrm{n}=28,56,84$ ), with most species still uncounted; Nymphaea ( $2 \mathrm{n}=56,84,112$ ), with counts for most species; and Lotos ( $2 \mathrm{n}=28,56,84$ ), with all species counted. While diploids occur rarely in other subgenera, they are common in subg. Hydrocallis ( $2 \mathrm{n}=18,20,28,42,84$ ), where most species are diploid (Wiersema 1987). Somatic counts for several species of Nuphar ( $2 \mathrm{n}=34$ ), two species of Barclaya ( $2 \mathrm{n}=36$ ), Euryale ( $2 \mathrm{n}=58$ ), and both species of Victoria ( $2 \mathrm{n}=20,24$ ) indicate a wide range of base numbers in these other genera.

The fossil record of water lilies includes predominantly pollen, seeds, and leaves, many of which have been described in a number of form genera. Fossil remains that can be clearly assigned to one of the lineages of Nymphaea appear to be comparatively young, such as seeds in Upper Eocene/Lower

Oligocene strata from England (Collinson 1980), whereas seeds from the Middle Eocene of Canada have been compared with Victoria (Cevalloz-Ferriz and Stockey 1989) and seeds of Nuphar unambiguously date back to the Early Eocene of China (Chen et al. 2004). The discussion on the fossil history of Nymphaeales has been stimulated by the discovery of small flowers from the Lower Cretaceous of Portugal, considered to be the earliest water lilies (Friis et al. 2001). Other small flowers from the Turonian (Upper Cretaceous) of New Jersey have been regarded as Nymphaeaceae close to Victoria and Euryale (Microvictoria; Gandolfo et al. 2004).

Analyses of basal angiosperms have consistently found a long branch leading to the crown group of Nymphaeales (Qiu et al. 1999, 2005; Zanis et al. 2002; Borsch et al. 2003), supported by a large number of synapomorphic indels (Löhne and Borsch 2005). Resolving relationships within this crown group presented a rather difficult setting because of the genetic distance of potential outgroups such as Amborella and the possibility of long-branch attraction through the outgroup. This situation may have motivated the use of Cabombaceae to root Nymphaeaceae in earlier analyses (Les et al. 1999) of relationships within the clade. Yoo et al. (2005) provided molecular clock evidence for a diversification of the Nymphaeales crown group during the Eocene $(44.6 \pm 7.9 \mathrm{Ma})$, much more recent than the divergence of the stem lineage (estimated to $125-115 \mathrm{Ma}$ ).

Borsch et al. (2003) applied sequence data of the two spacers and the group I intron in the chloroplast $\operatorname{trnT} \mathrm{trnF}$ region to phylogenetic analyses of basal angiosperms. It was shown that extreme length-mutational dynamics resulting in difficult-toalign sequence parts at greater genetic distances is confined to certain hotspots. In the trnL intron, these mutational hotspots are located within the P6 and P8 stem loops, which are structurally least confined (Borsch et al. 2003; Quandt et al. 2004). The resulting $\operatorname{trn} T-t r n F$ phylogeny was well resolved and highly supported. The $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ region was therefore a promising molecular marker that, on the one hand, is alignable with Amborella and Austrobaileyales and, on the other, is variable enough to provide resolution among species of Nymphaea.

The $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{trnF}$ region has become one of the most widely used regions in plants since the availability of universal primers annealing to the t -RNA genes (Taberlet et al. 1991). Initially, $\operatorname{trn}$ T-trnF sequences were used for analyzing relationships among species (Mes and 't Hart 1994; Gielly et al. 1996) and genera (van Ham et al. 1994; Bayer and Starr 1998). More recently, the region appeared informative for inferring relationships among families (Renner 1999; Sauquet et al. 2003) and major lineages of angiosperms and land plants (Borsch et al. 2003; Quandt et al. 2004). However, in most cases, only the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron and the $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trnF}$ spacer had been sequenced, whereas analyses involving the whole $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{trnF}$ region are comparatively few (e.g., Böhle et al. 1994; Won and Renner 2005). The trnL-trnF spacer and the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron appear as cotranscribed in land plants (Kanno and Hirai 1993; Quandt et al. 2004; Won and Renner 2005). The trnT-trnL spacer is not transcribed and seems to evolve slightly differently. Based on a character resampling approach, the $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{trn} F$ region was recently shown to comprise more phylogenetic structure per informative character than matK (Müller et al. 2006).
Aims of this study are twofold. First, we intend to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships of the genus Nymphaea using a dense taxon sampling. In this context, it is of particular importance to
test the monophyly of Nymphaea as currently circumscribed and to evaluate its position within Nymphaeales. Close and distant outgroups shall be examined for possible effects. Second, we aim to explore fully the information content of the $\operatorname{trn} T$ - $\operatorname{trnF}$ region, including information from microstructural changes, and to assess the relative performance of individual spacers, the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron, and the satellite-like region within the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron.

## Material and Methods

## Taxon and Character Sampling

Most specimens were collected from wild populations (table C1). If available, widespread species were represented by individuals from several geographically distant populations in order to test the utility of satellite-like regions in the P8 stem loop of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron for inferring species relationships and for species identification. Before DNA isolation, young leaves were washed in distilled water to remove algae and other periphyton and were subsequently dried in silica gel. About three-fourths of the species of Nymphaea ( 35 out of 45-50), covering all five subgenera (fig. 1), and representatives of all other Nymphaeales genera were sampled.

Both distant and close outgroups were selected to test relationships within Nymphaea as well as within the Nymphaeales as a whole. A series of phylogenetic analyses was conducted using three taxon sets: set A comprised all Nymphaeales (61 taxa), with Austrobaileyales (three taxa) plus Amborella as outgroup; set B comprised all Nymphaeales and was rooted with Amborella alone; and set C comprised only the core of Nymphaeaceae (Barclaya, Euryale, Nymphaea, Ondinea, Victoria) and used Brasenia, Cabomba, and four species of Nuphar as outgroup. For each taxon set, character sets were successively added to the substitution-based matrix (matrix 1) to test their influence on the inferred trees; matrix 2 was composed of substitutions and indels (excluding P8), matrix 3 of P8 data (substitutions and indels), and matrix 4 of all characters.

## DNA Isolation, Amplification, and Sequencing

Total genomic DNA was isolated from dried leaf tissue using a modified CTAB method for optimal yield of DNA, as described by Borsch et al. (2003). The trnT-trnF region was polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplified in two overlapping halves with universal primers. Amplification of the upstream half used primers rps4-5F, annealing to the $r p s 4$ gene upstream of $\operatorname{trn} T$ (Sauquet et al. 2003), and trnL110R (Borsch et al. 2003), annealing at a site 16 nucleotides (nt) upstream of the P element in the trnL intron that is length conserved in angiosperms. The downstream half was amplified using primers c and f (Taberlet et al. 1991). These amplification primers were also used for sequencing, and when reads were not long enough, primers a, d, and e, designed by Taberlet et al. (1991), also were employed. This strategy enabled the generation of complete and reliable sequences of both spacers and the $\operatorname{trnL}$ gene, including its intron. Amplification conditions were as follows: 34 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~min})$ denaturation, $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~min})$ annealing, $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~min})$ extension, and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~min})$ final extension. PCR products were purified using a QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and directly sequenced
with ABI Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kits, version 1.1 or 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), on ABI 310 and 377 automated sequencers. Alternatively, direct sequencing of PCR products was performed with the CEQ DTCS Quick start Kit (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA), and extension products were electrophoresed on the CEQ 8000 automated sequencer.

## Sequence Alignment

Homology assessment followed the rules of Borsch et al. (2003), further extended by Löhne and Borsch (2005). Microstructural changes are understood as mutational events that can include one to many nucleotides at once ( Gu and Li 1995; Benson 1997; Kelchner 2000). The alignment method therefore aims to recognize sequence motifs resulting from such mutational events (e.g., simple repeats) rather than apply gap costs or calculating global or local nucleotide similarities. Hotspots (i.e., parts of a genomic region with a high number of length-mutational events that prevent unambiguous alignment at a given level of distance; Borsch et al. 2003) were excluded from analyses. Microsatellites consisting of single nucleotide stretches (A's or T's) were also considered to be hotspots because motif recognition within these lengthvariable stretches is not possible. It was recently shown that length mutations in microsatellites can include several repeat units at once (Tesfaye et al., forthcoming). For four different groups of species within Nymphaea, separate alignments had to be made of terminal parts of the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron P8 stem loop because no homology could be assessed across the complete data set. These species groups were (i) all members of subgg. Anecphya, Brachyceras, and Ondinea; (ii) subg. Hydrocallis; (iii) subg. Lotos and Nymphaea petersiana; and (iv) subg. Nymphaea. Respective four sequence blocks are placed next to each other in character set P8.

## Coding Microstructural Changes

Indels that result from microstructural changes were coded according to the widely accepted simple indel coding method (Simmons and Ochoterena 2000). Adjacent independent gaps (see Löhne and Borsch 2005) were kept separate because they result from independent rather than stepwise microstructural mutations. The recognition of independent microstructural mutations is important for correctly describing patterns of molecular evolution. In most cases, adjacent gaps result from simple sequence repeats that occur in close proximity but do not involve the same structural elements. As a consequence, there is no primary homology for these repeats. In complex situations (i.e., presence of overlapping indels; Borsch et al. 2003), simple indel coding has been suggested to result in a loss of information because of a high frequency of states coded as inapplicable in many taxa. To overcome this, Simmons and Ochoterena (2000) suggested calculating stepwise matrices that consider the stepwise mutational process and applying a parsimony principle to reconstruct the simplest sequence of mutational steps, a concept recently extended and incorporated into software by Müller (2005b). However, Simmons et al. (forthcoming) recently compared several indelcoding methods in a simulation study and found simple indel coding to perform rather well. In view of this and our aim to
apply the binary model in MrBayes to the indel character set as well, using simple indel coding here seemed warranted.

## Tree Reconstruction

All characters, including indels, were given equal weight. Gaps were treated as missing data in the sequence matrices. Initial parsimony analyses were first executed with PAUP* (Swofford 2002), using heuristic searches with simple stepwise addition, tree-bisection-reconnection branch swapping, and multiple trees saved, but did not swap to completion after a reasonable time. Subsequently, the parsimony ratchet (Nixon 1999), as implemented in PRAP (Müller 2004), was used to find shortest trees for all 12 data sets (A1-C4). Settings were 200 ratchet iterations, weight 2 , weighted $=25 \%$, and 10 random addition cycles. Heuristic search parameters in the ratchet were simple stepwise addition (no random addition cycles), no multrees saved, maxtrees automatically increased by 100 . To evaluate node support in parsimony trees, jackknifing was carried out with $37 \%$ character deletion and 10,000 replicates, using heuristic searches as for parsimony but multrees not in effect (saving only one tree). Calculations could be easily completed with PAUP* (Swofford 2002) on a Pentium PC in a few minutes for each data set. A high replicate number was chosen because this has been shown to be most influential in reaching small confidence intervals for jackknife percentages (Müller 2005a), which is of importance when comparing relative performance of data partitions.

Bayesian inference (BI) of data sets A1, A2, and A4 was conducted with MrBayes, version 3.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Modeltest 3.06 (Posada and Crandall 1998) was used to infer the optimal models to describe substitutional patterns in the $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequence data sets based on Akaike Information Criterion. The optimal models were as follows: GTR (Rodríguez et al. 1990) for the $\operatorname{trnT-trnL}$ spacer and the P8 stem loops of the Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade and subg. Hydrocallis, the GTR +G model for the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron and the $\operatorname{trnL}$-trnF spacer, and the F81 model (Felsenstein 1981) for the P8 character set of subgg. Lotos and Nymphaea. For the indel character set, the binary (restriction site) model implemented in MrBayes 3.1 was applied. All analyses were performed for $1,000,000$ generations, creating posterior probability distributions of trees with Metropolis-coupled Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Four independent runs with four chains each were carried out, with heating temperature 0.2 , saving one tree every 100 generations. For data sets A1 and A2, posterior probabilities reached a stable value after 20,000 generations. Thus, the burn-in was set to 200, and a consensus was calculated from 9800 trees sampled after the burn-in in each chain ( 39,200 trees total). For data set A4, probabilities converged to a stable value after 15,000 generations, and 9850 trees ( 39,400 trees total) were sampled for calculating the consensus after setting the burn-in to 150 .

## Analysis of Molecular Evolution

Sequence variability, GC content, and transition : transversion ratios were calculated with SeqState (Müller 2005c). The probability for microstructural changes is difficult to assess, and the simple combination of matrices describing substitutions and indels with equal weight may not reflect true circumstances
of mutational dynamics. This study therefore aims to empirically investigate the evolution of microstructural changes. Using PAUP* (Swofford 2002), we determined ancestral states of all indel characters for one of the eight shortest trees found in the ratchet analysis of data set A4, and state changes for indels variable within Nymphaeales are shown on the tree. Visual examination of the alignment during indel coding allowed for discrimination between simple and overlapping indels and to record motifs and positions of assumed microstructural changes in the two spacers and the intron. Using ancestral states and data on indel motifs and size (app. A) permitted the assessment of patterns of indel homoplasy and its correlation with particular kinds and positions of microstructural changes.

## Results

## Variability of the trnT-trnF Region

The overall matrix, excluding hotspots and $\operatorname{trnL}$ exons (A4), is 2073 characters ( trnT-trnL spacer $=1-759$, $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron $=$ 760-1339, trnL-trnF spacer $=1340-2073)$. In the overall alignment of 2547 positions ( $t r n L 5^{\prime}$ exon $=939-973$, trnL $3^{\prime}$ exon $=1744-1793$ ), hotspot H1 (pos. 217-393) comprises long insertions in Austrobaileyales, which cannot be aligned among Austrobaileya, Illicium, and Schisandra. Hotspots H2H5 and H7 are not present (annotation of hotspots following Borsch et al. 2003). Hotspot H6 (pos. 1321-1511) is the largest hotspot in Nymphaeales. It corresponds to the terminal part of the P8 stem loop and is composed of AT-rich parts, of particular length in Nuphar, Barclaya, Victoria, and Nymphaea subgg. Hydrocallis and Lotos, which cannot be aligned with each other. As a consequence, four individual files (in the following, called P8) were created that comprise sequences of either Nymphaea subg. Nymphaea, subg. Hydrocallis, subg. Lotos, or subg. Brachyceras + Anecphya + Ondinea (fig. 2). Surprisingly, almost no intraspecific variation is found in this AT-rich satellite-like sequence within any of the plants sampled. Hotspot H8 (pos. 1744-1793) contains a microsatellite in Nymphaeales with presumed inversions of stretches of A's and T's.

Sequence statistics were calculated for data sets A4 (all taxa, all characters) and C4 (only Nymphaeales, all characters), distinguishing the two spacers, the group I intron, and P8 (table 1). The amount of variable and potentially parsimony-informative characters is highest in the $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trn} L$ spacer, followed by the $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trnF}$ spacer, and is lowest in the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron. The trnT$\operatorname{trn} L$ spacer exhibits the highest amount of length variability in data set C due to large insertions and deletions in Amborella and Austrobaileyales (accordingly lowest length variability in data set A). Sequences of the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron are the most length variable in Nymphaeales, caused by the P8 stem loop. Both spacers and the intron have similar GC contents ( $34 \%-37 \%$ ), whereas the CG content of P8 is considerably lower (4\%).

The spacers show a much higher number of indels (87 and 90 for $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trn} L$ and $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trnF}$, respectively) than the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron (58). A list of indels, including their size and motifs, is provided in appendix A and the resulting binary matrix in appendix B. A high proportion of indels appears as unique to Amborella, the Austrobaileya-Illicium-Schisandra lineage, or the Nymphaeales clade. Only $48 \%$ of the indels of the overall matrix (A4) are variable within Nymphaeales.


Fig. 1 Floral diversity in the different subgenera of Nymphaea. A, Subg. Brachyceras, Nymphaea micrantha (M. Koehnen s.n.); B, subg. Anecphya, N. gigantea (T. Borsch 3836); C, subg. Lotos, N. lotus var. thermalis (T. Borsch 3832); D, subg. Hydrocallis, N. rudgeana (M. Koehnen s.n.); E, subg. Nymphaea, N. mexicana (T. Borsch \& B. Summers 3226); F, subg. Nymphaea, N. tetragona (T. Borsch 3155).

## Parsimony Analyses

Heuristic searches on the combined data set (A4) saving multiple trees did not swap to completion. Parsimony ratchet searches yielded eight shortest trees of 1022 steps after a few minutes. Searches on data sets A2 and A1 yielded trees with
fewer steps due to the smaller size of their matrices (table 2). Because effects of different outgroups were minimal, only the trees found when analyzing the full taxon set (searches A1-4, including Amborella and Austrobaileyales) are illustrated here (fig. 3). This also presents the statistical results for the monophyly of Nymphaeaceae, Cabombaceae, and Nymphaeales.
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Ny. tetragona
Ny. alba NY056, NY061
Ny. candida NY062, NY063
Ny. candida NY109
Ny. odorata odorata
Ny. odorata tuberosa
Ny. mexicana KN08, NY069
lot ther NY003, NY105
lotus NY078 lotus lotu

 Hydrocallis clade; D, subgg. Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade. Individuals from one taxon with identical sequences are summarized on a single line.

Results of searches A1, A2, and A4 were highly congruent, differing only by the degree of resolution that increased from A1 over A2 to A4, due to additional characters (indels and $\operatorname{trnL}$ P8 stem loop region). Nodes unresolved in A1 or A2 are indicated as "n.r." in the strict consensus tree illustrated in figure 3. A single node (Nymphaea violacea NY135 sister to the Nymphaea hastifolia-Ondinea-N. elleniae clade) was resolved additionally in analyses A1 and A2 but weakly supported ( $63 \%$ and $64 \%$ jackknife [JK]). Only one weakly supported node (uniting $N$. amazonum, N. conardii, and N. gardneriana; $63 \% \mathrm{JK}$ ) was resolved differently in A1.
Whereas the Nymphaeales clade was inferred with $100 \%$ JK in all searches, the Nymphaeaceae gained only medium support (fig. 3; tables 2, 3). Maximum support was found for a core Nymphaeaceae clade consisting of Euryale, Victoria, Nymphaea, and Ondinea ( $100 \% \mathrm{JK}$; node 2 in fig. 3) and for Barclaya as its sister group (node 1 in fig. 3). In contrast, there is only weak indication for the monophyly of the genus Nymphaea with respect to the Euryale-Victoria clade as its sister (node 3 in fig. 3; tables 2, 3). The genus Ondinea, however, is shown with high confidence as being nested terminally within subg. Anecphya of Nymphaea. Species of subgg. Brachyceras, Anecphya, and Ondinea further share the same basic structure of the AT-rich satellite region in P8 of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron (fig. 2). A temperate subg. Nymphaea clade is inferred as sister to all remaining species of Nymphaea (including Ondinea), for which confidence is distinctly increased by indels. In addition to the subg. Nymphaea clade, there are two other major lineages, one consisting of subgg. Hydrocallis and Lotos, plus N. petersiana of subg. Brachyceras, which will be referred to as HL clade, and the other of subgg. Brachyceras, Anecphya, and the genus Ondinea (BAO clade). Nymphaea petersiana is only weakly supported as sister to subg. Lotos, but there is good evidence ( $82 \%-89 \% \mathrm{JK}, 1.00$ posterior probability [PP]) for its position within the HL clade. Resolution within the
monophyletic subg. Hydrocallis is low and is caused only by indels and the P8 satellite region. However, each of the species in Hydrocallis ( 12 out of 14 were sampled) can be unambiguously recognized by its $\operatorname{trn} T$-trnF sequence (fig. 2). There are three lineages in subg. Brachyceras (excepting N. petersiana), two of which contain species from the Old World tropics and a third that comprises only New World species (N. elegans, N. gracilis, N. ampla). The three lineages appear in a polytomy with Anecphya-Ondinea. Chloroplast data suggest several clades of species within subg. Anecphya and a polyphyletic nature of N. violacea. Signal provided by P8 alone for the four different lineages is illustrated in the form of unrooted networks (fig. 4).

## Microstructural Changes

The occurrence of microstructural mutations in the trnT$t r n F$ region during the diversification of Nymphaeales is illustrated in figure 5 using one of the shortest trees inferred with parsimony from data set A4. In figure 5, a distinction is made between entire indels and indels that are part of complex situations in the alignment. Inferred differences of ancestral states unravel mutational events. In conjunction with respective sequence motifs, frequencies and size distributions (i.e., the number of nucleotides involved in a respective mutational event) for four different kinds of microstructural mutations were determined (fig. 6). About $20 \%$ of the indel characters are homoplastic. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are the most frequent mutations, accounting for almost $90 \%$ of the reconstructed insertions. There is a heavy bias toward the maintenance of duplicated sequence motifs, once acquired during the evolution of the $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ region. The loss of SSR elements is inferred in only three cases, as compared with 68 gains. A smaller proportion of the inferred insertions do not show any recognizable motif and are thus considered to be of unknown origin (fig. 6).

Table 1
Sequence Statistics for the Two Spacers and the Intron Based on Data Sets A and C

|  | trnT-trnL spacer | trnL intron | P8 partition | trnL-trnF spacer | trnT-trnF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data set A: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sequence length range, including hotspots (bp) | 460-684 | 474-606 | 244-412 | $\ldots$ | 1323-1549 |
| Average length (SD) | 476 (33.7) | 541 (24.6) | $\ldots$ | 384 (21.1) | 1402 (30.2) |
| No. characters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 761 | 579 | $\ldots$ | 737 | 2077 |
| Variable characters (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30.5 | 21.8 | $\ldots$ | 24.0 | 25.8 |
| Informative characters (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16.6 | 10.9 | $\ldots$ | 12.6 | 13.6 |
| GC content (\%) | 37.01 | 34.59 | $\ldots$ | 34.01 | 35.22 |
| ti : tv | 1.97 | . 48 | $\ldots$ | . 62 | . 75 |
| Data set C: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sequence length range, including hotspots (bp) | 460-484 | 507-606 | 55-111 | 360-412 | 1360-1452 |
| Average length (SD) | 469 (5.6) | 545 (20.4) | 76 (15.7) | 387 (11.9) | 1401 (22.1) |
| No. characters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 761 | 579 | [387] | 737 | 2077 |
| Variable characters (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16.0 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| Informative characters (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.3 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| GC content (\%) | 37.4 | 34.33 | 4.33 | 34.04 | 35.28 |
| ti : tv | 2.07 | . 38 | <. 01 | . 55 | . 69 |

Note. The P8 partition was included only for data set C because it is not present in Austrobaileyales and Amborella. The number of characters for the P8 partition reflects matrices of the four major lineages (see fig. 2) in sum. ti : tv = transition : transversion ratio.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data refer to the matrix used in tree inference and exclude mutational hotspots.

## Table 2

Results of Parsimony Analyses Using Distant and Close Outgroups and Different Character Sets

|  | A1 | A2 | A4 | B1 | B2 | B4 | C1 | C2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters variable | 535 | 751 | 805 | 400 | 550 | 604 | 270 | 361 |  |
| Characters pars. inv. | 282 | 367 | 390 | 151 | 197 | 220 | 144 | 188 |  |
| No. steps | 716 | 963 | 1022 | 488 | 656 | 715 | 320 | 425 | 215 |
| No. shortest trees | 30 | 6 | 8 | 29 | 46 | 90 | 28 | 48 |  |
| CI | .863 | .866 | .869 | .889 | .890 | .892 | .894 | .887 | 112 |
| RI | .916 | .917 | .916 | .933 | .934 | .933 | .956 | .954 | .890 |
| RC | .790 | .794 | .796 | .830 | .831 | .832 | .854 | .846 | .848 |
| HI | .137 | .134 | .131 | .111 | .110 | .108 | .106 | .113 | .110 |

Note. Taxon set $\mathrm{A}=$ Amborella, Austrobaileya, Illicium, Schisandra; taxon set $\mathrm{B}=$ Amborella; taxon set $\mathrm{C}=$ Brasenia, Cabomba, Nuphar spp. $1=$ substitutions; $2=$ substitutions + indels; $4=$ substitutions + indels + P8. pars. inv. $=$ parsimony informative. CI $=$ Consistency Index. $\mathrm{RI}=$ Retention Index. $\mathrm{RC}=$ Rescaled Consistency Index. $\mathrm{HI}=$ Homoplasy Index.

From the total of microstructural mutations, insertions account for $62 \%$, although an insertion bias is less prominent in the ATrich satellite-like part of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ P8 stem loop.

## Bayesian Analysis

Results of BI are largely congruent with the trees found with parsimony. Similar to parsimony analysis, there is almost no support for the monophyly of Nymphaeaceae, and data set A1 (tree not shown) even resulted in Nuphar as sister to all remaining Nymphaeales rather than Cabombaceae. In congruence with the maximum parsimony trees, the core Nymphaeaceae gain high support (fig. 7) but not so for Nymphaea (including Ondinea). The BAO and HL lineages were found to constitute a clade, substantiating subg. Nymphaea as sister to all remaining species of Nymphaea. The sister group relationship between N. petersiana and subg. Lotos is confirmed with 0.98 PP. Within Hydrocallis, several groups of species are found after the addition of the satellite-like region in P8 of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron (fig. 7). The BI of the overall data set weakly ( 0.65 PP ) indicates that subg. Brachyceras (except N. petersiana) could also be paraphyletic to subg. Anecphya-Ondinea. Branches for the divergence of EuryaleVictoria and the three Nymphaea clades are extremely short (fig. 8). Branches leading to the crown groups of the temperate subg. Nymphaea, BAO, and HL clades are long, whereas branches within these three clades are rather short. In Cabombaceae, a threefold-longer branch is found leading to Ca bomba, as compared with Brasenia (fig. 8).

## Outgroup Effects on the Trees Resolved

Tree topologies using distant versus close outgroups were identical, whereas jackknife support for $24 \%$ of the nodes varied (summarized in table 3). Only nodes with low to medium support were affected by different outgroups. Support for the monophyly of Nymphaea (including Ondinea; node 3 in fig. 3) decreased when using Brasenia, Cabomba, and Nuphar as outgroup (table 3). In comparison with the complete taxon set ( $67 \%-69 \% \mathrm{JK}$; searches A1-A4), node 3 gained hardly any support $(50 \%-53 \% \mathrm{JK})$ in searches C1-C4. To the contrary, Nymphaeaceae were resolved with distinctly higher confidence when sequences of Austrobaileyales were excluded (table 3).

## Discussion

## Phylogenetic Utility and Molecular Evolution of the Spacers and the Group I Intron

Relative to the analysis of $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{T}$ - trnF sequences across basal angiosperms (Borsch et al. 2003), similar hotspots were found in this study. Nevertheless, due to the much smaller genetic distances covered, as compared with basal angiosperms as a whole, hotspots are smaller in extent or are not even found here (hotspots 2-5 and 7, which occur in basal angiosperms; Borsch et al. 2003). In particular, in the trnT-trnL spacer, length variability is considerably lower when considering the Nymphaeales alone. In the basal angiosperm data set, up to an additional 950 nt were present in hotspot H1, due to insertions in monocots, magnoliids, and eudicots.

The trnL intron is the most conserved of the three parts of $\operatorname{trnT}$-trnF, in terms of both substitutions and microstructural changes. The number of coded indels in $\operatorname{trnL}$ in Nymphaeales is still lower than in the spacers, despite the variable P8 stem loop and the longer average length of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron sequences (table 1). This may be explained by much stronger structural constraints in the $\operatorname{trnL}$ group I intron, where substantial length variation in angiosperms is found only in the P6 and P8 stem loops (Borsch et al. 2003; Quandt et al. 2004). In Nymphaeales, the trnT-trnL spacer is distinctly more variable than the $\operatorname{trnL} L-t r n F$ spacer, whereas their level of variability at higher distances across basal angiosperms was found to be in the same range (Borsch et al. 2003).

## Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetic Signal from the P8 Stem Loop of the trnL Intron

The P8 partition distinguished here corresponds to the highly variable, terminal AT-rich part of the P8 stem loop, as described by Borsch et al. (2003, annotated by two arrows in their fig. 2). The AT-rich terminal parts of P8 in Nymphaeales show almost no intraspecific variability, even in individuals from geographically distant populations (e.g., N. jamesoniana from Florida and Ecuador or N. candida from Scandinavia and Siberia). The same applies to individuals of Nuphar from Virginia and southern Florida (fig. 2). The only characters exhibiting intraspecific variability are substitutions but not indels. An explanation may be that the AT-rich sequences found in Nymphaeales are stabilized through hairpin


Fig. 3 Maximum parsimony tree (strict consensus of eight shortest trees found) of the combined analysis (data set A4) of trnT-trnF in Nymphaea and the Nymphaeales. Jackknife values are shown above branches. Support values for the same nodes found in parsimony analyses based on substitutions only (partition 1) and on substitutions + indels but excluding the satellite-like region in P8 (partition 2) are indicated below for comparison (left, roman, and right, italics, respectively). In case a node was not resolved in analyses A1 or A2, it is indicated by "n.r." Numbers refer to nodes discussed in table 3.

## Table 3

Statistical Support of Major Nodes (10,000 jackknife replicates) with Respect to Different Outgroups and Partitions

| Node | A1 | A2 | A4 | B1 | B2 | B4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | C2 | C4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Monophyly of Nymphaeaceae | 78 | 76 | 76 | 93 | 90 | 91 |
| 2. Monophyly of core Nymphaeaceae | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3. Monophyly of Nymphaea (including Ondinea) | 69 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 57 | 60 |
| 4. Subg. Nymphaea sister to remaining Nymphaea (including Ondinea) | 62 | 83 | 84 | 62 | 83 | 84 |
| 5. Monophyly of subg. Nymphaea | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| . Subg. Hydrocallis sister to subg. Lotos (including N. petersiana) | 82 | 89 | 89 | 82 | 89 | 90 |
| 100 | 85 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. N. petersiana sister to subg. Lotos | 61 | 59 | 64 | 59 | 60 | 64 |
| 8. Monophyly of subg. Lotos | 74 | 73 | 75 | 73 | 74 | 76 |
| 9. Monophyly of subg. Hydrocallis | 58 | 81 | 83 | 58 | 82 | 83 |
| 10. Subgg. Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 11. New World clade within subg. Brachyceras | 62 | 63 | 73 | 63 | 63 | 73 |
| 12. Monophyly of subg. Anecphya (including Ondinea) | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 |
| 13. N. elleniae-N. hastifolia-N. violacea p.p.-Ondinea clade | 64 | 71 | 82 | 64 | 71 | 83 |

Note. The structure of this table follows that of table 2 (A1-C4).
formation in their secondary structures. The possibility of terminal P8 sequence elements to form hairpins was shown for N. odorata by Borsch et al. (2003) and for Cabomba and Nuphar by Quandt et al. (2004). Using trnL sequences from different tracheophyte, fern, and bryophyte lineages, Quandt et al. (2004) and Quandt and Stech (2005) hypothesized that the P8 stem loop has been independently prolongating in different land plant lineages. Extent and variability of AT-rich P8 elements as described for Nymphaeales in this study are highest for all angiosperms known so far. It seems that independent prolongation of P8 has even happened within Nymphaea (fig. 2), resulting in sequence elements diagnostic for four major clades.
Minisatellites are rare in the chloroplast genomes of flowering plants but have been encountered in orchids (Cafasso et al. 2001) and Rosaceae (King and Ferris 2002; Cozzolino et al. 2003) and also in the $\operatorname{trnK}$ group II intron of Aristolochiaceae (S. Wanke and D. Quandt, personal communication). In comparison with the AT-rich P8 sequences in Nymphaeales, the repetitive nature of these minisatellites is much more regular. As a consequence, slipped-strand mispairing (Levinson and Gutman 1987; Di Rienzo et al. 1994) can cause extreme length variability of such satellites, also within populations. The ATrich sequence elements in the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron appear to be much more stable, not being true minisatellites. Phylogeny inference based on the P8 character set (table 3) and indel evolution in P8 (fig. 5) show that homoplasy is higher when compared with the remaining parts of $\operatorname{trnT-trnF\text {.InNymphaea,theAT-richele-}}$ ments are of limited phylogenetic utility. Nevertheless, they provide a great amount of information for species identification (DNA bar coding).

## History and Phylogenetic Utility of Microstructural Mutations

In order to efficiently apply information resulting from microstructural mutations in phylogeny reconstruction, three major issues need to be discussed. The first is how information from indels should best be coded. The second regards the mechanisms and probabilities for microstructural mutations to occur, and the third deals with possible weights of in-
del characters as compared with substitutions. The latter two issues are closely interrelated and of crucial importance for using likelihood approaches in tree inference. However, currently we are just beginning to understand how lengthvariable sequences evolve. Empirical studies on microstructural mutations in real data sets are one line of work in this field. We have reconstructed the evolutionary history of microstructural changes in the $\operatorname{trnT}$ - $\operatorname{trn} F$ region in Nymphaeales (figs. 5,6 ) by using a parsimony approach.

The most frequently occurring mutations in this data set are SSRs, also called tandem repeats (Kelchner 2000). Compared with larger data sets of noncoding sequences analyzed so far, similar observations were made by Graham et al. (2000) for the slowly evolving chloroplast (cp) inverted repeat (IR) and by Löhne and Borsch (2005) for the rapidly evolving group II intron in chloroplast petD. Similar to this study (figs. 5, 6), Graham et al. (2000) encountered a deficit of $2-3$-bp-long indels. Some universal patterns thus seem to be present in cp noncoding DNA. Based on $\operatorname{trnL}$ - $\operatorname{trnF}$ spacer data, van Ham et al. (1994) suggested that homoplasy decreased with increasing length of indels, but this is not the case either here or in other data sets (Müller and Borsch 2005b). Graham et al. (2000) found no difference in homoplasy among 162 indels of different size classes in the IR. For short inversions that are typically found in terminal loops of hairpin structures (Kelchner and Wendel 1996; Mes et al. 2000; Quandt et al. 2003), frequent changes between the two possible states have been demonstrated, even among closely related species. The same pattern occurs in a microsatellite in the $\operatorname{trnL}$ - $\operatorname{trnF}$ spacer in Nymphaeales that was excluded as hotspot 8 (details not shown, as this study does not focus on inversions). Moreover, Graham et al. (2000) described complex double inversions associated with inverted repeat sequences, which, in spite of their complexity, evolved in parallel in different angiosperm lineages. More recently, Provan et al. (2004) and Tesfaye et al. (forthcoming) provided evidence for cp microsatellites to represent a different class of length-variable DNA because rates of insertion and deletion at these loci are much higher as compared with those of the classes of microstructural mutations mentioned before. These examples show that rates and mechanisms of microstructural mutations obviously


Fig. 4 Unrooted networks showing the signal of the P8 stem loop in the four clades (character set 3). In the data set of the subg. Nymphaea clade $(A)$, one tree of six steps and $C I=1.0$ was recovered; of the subg. Lotos $-N y m p h a e a$ petersiana clade $(B)$, two trees of 12 steps and $C I=1.0$; of the subg. Hydrocallis clade (C), two trees of 25 steps and $\mathrm{CI}=0.88$; and of the Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade $(D)$, six trees of 14 steps and $\mathrm{CI}=1.0$.
depend strongly on specific characteristics of certain structural elements within a genomic region.
The reconstruction of state transformations in Nymphaeales (fig. 5) therefore distinguishes between indels that are part of complex situations (overlapping indels) and simple (=entire) indels. The percentage of indels in complex situations is highest in the $t r n L-t r n F$ spacer $(82 \%)$, medium in the $\operatorname{trnT-trnL}$ spacer $(60 \%)$, and lowest in the $t m L$ intron including P8 $(45 \%)$. However, close examination of individual indels being part of a complex situation but coded as individual characters (according to simple indel coding) shows that these complex indels are not necessarily the result of a complex mutational process (i.e., two or more subsequent length-mutational events affecting the same site). We will explain this using the follow-
ing two examples. In the case of the overlapping indels 146151 in the $t r n L-t r n F$ spacer (app. A; fig. 5), a 17 -nt SSR (indel 148) was inserted independently in two different clades and later lost in one individual of N. lotus var. thermalis of one clade. Indel 149 is a 5 -nt SSR that occurred completely independent in the common ancestor of Euryale and Victoria and also indel 147 as an autapomorphic SSR of Cabomba ( 6 -nt SSR). Indel 150 is a 16 -nt gain in core Nymphaeaceae and Barclaya of unknown origin. Indel 151 is a 4 -nt SSR in N. petersiana reconstructed to have evolved in parallel to the gain of indel 148 in subg. Lotos, and the respective sequence motifs do not indicate any stepwise process. The only microstructural change that was inferred to have occurred on a successive node is 146 (a big deletion in Barclaya that could involve indel

150), which is autapomorphic and not informative. Another easier example is a deletion in Cabomba (indel 72) that overlaps with a 4 -nt gain in one individual of $N$. candida from Siberia. In the latter case, the actual deletion in Cabomba is only 5 nt , whereas the gap appears to be nine positions long. Both events involve similar sequence positions but are on remote parts of the tree.
Based on the distribution of state transformations (fig. 5), the vast majority of mutational events at overlapping positions in the alignment occurred independently in distant parts of the tree. Thus, overlapping indels mostly are not the result of subsequent mutational events; i.e., they are not truly overlapping. Simple indel coding can therefore be expected to perform well because the extensive assumption of missing data in this strategy (Simmons et al. 2001) largely leads to missing signals in parts of the tree where respective nodes are already supported by a majority of other characters. Simulation studies currently under way (Simmons et al., forthcoming) comparing different indel-coding strategies also arrive at the conclusion that the simple indel-coding method works quite well. On the other hand, our empirical data on the evolution of $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trn} F$ sequences indicate that locally calculating step matrices to be applied in complex indel coding may be misleading. Further empirical analyses of other length-variable genomic regions and other clades are needed.
Second, our empirical data show (fig. 6) a striking insertion bias for SSRs in all three parts of the $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ region in Nymphaeales. Almost all ( 65 of $68 ; 96 \%$ ) simple sequence repeats were reconstructed as insertions. Finding tandem motifs in sequence alignments is hard when these motifs are short, and, thus, deletions in repeat regions may have been overlooked. However, flanking regions of gaps were always carefully examined during alignment and indel coding to prevent this, and the extreme excess of gains over losses as found in this study is not likely to be the result of ambiguity. This means that once acquired, there is little probability that a simple sequence repeat is lost again. The three exceptions (indels 172, 174, 228) are all located in parts of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ $\operatorname{trnF}$ spacer where substitutions in the evolutionary history of Nymphaeales have led to several adjacent mono- or dinucleotide repeat motifs. These situations have been characterized as emerging satellites by Levinson and Gutman (1987). Like in more expanded satellite DNA, the mutational dynamics appears to be much more flexible in these emerging satellites (i.e., higher rates of microstructural mutations and high levels of homoplasy). Therefore, these few cases of lost SSRs might not be readily comparable. These empirical data on $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ molecular evolution further suggest that there might not be a single universal mechanism to explain length mutations, such as slipped-strand mispairing (Levinson and Gutman 1987). It appears that there are different mechanisms connected to different classes of microstructural mutations.

For noncoding sequences of the slowly evolving chloroplast inverted repeat, Graham et al. (2000) also found that more tandem repeats were associated with insertions than with deletions but not as extreme as in this study. Graham et al. (2000) suggested that an insertion bias exists because the repair of mismatches after strand mispairing (Levinson and Gutmann 1987) largely involves adding additional nucleotides. So far, there are few studies reconstructing historical pathways of microstructural mutations in rapidly evolving chloroplast DNA. Van Ham et al. (1994) and Mes and 't Hart (1994) investigated the trnL-trnF spacer in Crassulaceae and in Sedum. A large matrix was analyzed by Löhne and Borsch (2005) for the petD intron. Neither of these studies focused on the specific evolutionary history of distinct classes of microstructural mutations.

It is obvious from this $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trn} F$ data set that microstructural mutations (outside satellite DNA) are less frequent than substitutions (table 1), as has also been found in many other studies. This frequency bias has motivated suggestions to give indels a higher weight than substitutions. However, this is still under dispute. Gu and $\mathrm{Li}(1995)$ assumed a logarithmic distribution of indel size classes in human and rodent pseudogenes and suggested a logarithmic gap penalty for alignment algorithms. Other workers (e.g., Vogt 2002) proposed a priori weighing of indel characters, giving increased weight to longer indels. The idea is that rare microstructural mutation events (i.e., occurring with low probability) have higher phylogenetic information content than do frequent mutations (i.e., occurring with high probability). The distribution of reconstructed microstructural mutations (fig. 6) in trnT-trnF of Nymphaeales shows that there is a peak of 4-6-nt-long SSRs. Because there are many indels unique to Amborella and the Austrobaileyales, we have analyzed only those microstructural mutations that occurred within the radiation of the Nymphaeales crown group. The question of which microstructural changes occurred along the stem of Nymphaeales before its radiation into the extant genera will be dealt with elsewhere. Similar to the chloroplast genome inverted repeat (Graham et al. 2000), SSRs of 2 and 3 nt are particularly rare. Moreover, different classes of microstructural mutations show different size distributions, hindering any a priori weight assignment relative to length. High probability for particular microstructural mutations can also result in their multiple occurrences on different branches of the tree. Whereas most indels in this data set have a Consistency Index $[\mathrm{CI}]=1$ (details not shown), there are also homoplasious indels (fig. 5, annotated with asterisk). Homoplasious indels are often long, e.g., the 17 -nt SSR (indel 148) that occurs in only one individual of N. lotus. Thus, based on empirical evidence, the probability for microstructural mutations seems to be determined by site-specific structural constraints and strongly differs among different classes. The above-mentioned high frequency of short inversions associated with hairpins is a good example. Further

Fig. 5 History of microstructural mutations in the $\operatorname{trnT-trnF}$ region of Nymphaeales (for one of the eight shortest trees of the overall maximum parsimony analysis). Because of the high number of indels that are variable only at the level of the three major lineages (Amborella, Austrobaileyales, Nymphaeales), only those microstructural characters with state changes within the Nymphaeales are displayed. Indel numbers following appendix A are shown above symbols, with $7-87$ corresponding to the $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnL}$ spacer, 89-126 to the trnL intron, 129-218 to the $t r n L-t r n F$ spacer, and 219-235 to the satellite region in P8 of the $t r n L$ intron. A wavy line with a square illustrates microstructural changes that are part of complex situations, and a rectangle indicates simple changes. Filled symbols are insertions; open symbols are deletions.


Fig. 6 Frequency and size distribution of microstructural changes within Nymphaeales. The number of nucleotides involved in a mutational event is shown ( $X$-axis) relative to the absolute number of mutational events. Blue and violet bars refer to insertions (gain of sequence), whereas green and yellow bars refer to deletions (loss of sequence). The left bar illustrates simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and the right bar mutational events with unclear motifs, including deletions.
work needs to improve the understanding of molecular evolutionary patterns within specific genomic regions. In this study, we are reluctant to apply any differential weighting scheme for indels. However, it may be noted that there are globally synapomorphic indels (occurring only once), in contrast to homoplastic indels (fig. 5). Globally synapomorphic indels may deserve higher weight in phylogeny inference because of a lower probability to occur.

## Signal from Indels and Substitutions in Nymphaeales

There is a large number of nodes for which support increased significantly when indels were added to the substitutionbased matrix, such as the sister group relationship of the BAO and HL clades ( $62 \%$ to $>83 \% \mathrm{JK}$; node 4 in fig. 3 ), making the temperate subg. Nymphaea sister to the remainder of Nymphaea species or the monophyly of subg. Anecphya (including Ondinea), where JK support increased from $51 \%$ to $>90 \%$ (node 12 in fig. 3; table 3). The benefit of including indel characters (i.e., information derived from microstructural changes) is therefore obvious for the phylogeny of Nymphaea and the Nymphaeales. High phylogenetic information content of indel characters has been emphasized in an increasing number of studies on plants (Graham et al. 2000; Simmons et al. 2001; Geiger 2002; Hamilton et al. 2003; Leebens-Mack et al. 2005; Löhne and Borsch 2005; Müller and Borsch 2005b) and other organisms such as insects (Kawakita et al. 2003), bacteria (Griffiths et al. 2005), and hominoids (Lloyd and Calder 1991). Microstructural mutations have been shown to be less homoplastic than substitutions in an early study of $\operatorname{trnL}$-trnF spacer evolution in Crassulaceae (van Ham et al. 1994) and for several data sets of group II introns in trnK
and petD, such as for Lentibulariaceae (Müller and Borsch 2005b), Amaranthaceae (Müller and Borsch 2005a), and basal angiosperms (Löhne and Borsch 2005). Evidence provided here for the two spacers and the group I intron in $\operatorname{trnL}$ are along the same line and suggest generally lower homoplasy levels for indel as compared with substitution characters in data sets of the rapidly evolving spacers and introns of the cp genome LSU and SSU. Graham et al. (2000) show a similar pattern for the slowly evolving plastome inverted repeat regions.

## Outgroup Effects on Nymphaea Phylogeny Inference

Although Austrobaileyales are not the sister group of Nymphaeales but the next higher lineage of the basal angiosperm grade, it was considered representative of the remaining angiosperms sister to Nymphaeales. This approach was deemed valid because tree reconstruction methods used here calculate relationships globally and root a posteriori. Choosing derived groups as outgroups would be dangerous if a priori polarization of character states is used that assumes the plesiomorphic state in the outgroup. If the ingroup is monophyletic, effects of distant outgroups are thus mostly effects of long-branch attraction. Graham et al. (2002) showed in simulation experiments that distant outgroups preferentially attracted long internal branches. For the case of Nymphaeales, all possible outgroups (Amborella, Austrobaileyales) exhibit distant sequences (fig. 8), and the same applies to possible outgroups for Nymphaea (Brasenia, Cabomba, Nuphar). Because an outgroup has to be chosen subjectively among the extant taxa, our rooting experiments are a means to evaluate the resolved topology. Table 3 shows that most nodes are not influenced by different outgroups (thus receiving confidence), whereas nodes 1 (monophyly of


Fig. 7 Bayesian tree (strict consensus of all trees found with four independent runs) of the combined analysis (data set A4) of trnT-trnF in Nymphaea and the Nymphaeales. The corresponding confidence values shown above branches. Posterior probabilities of analyses based on the two data sets A1 and A2 are indicated below (left, roman, and right, italics, respectively).


Fig. 8 Bayesian phylogram of the combined analysis (substitutions + indels + P8).

Nymphaeaceae) and 3 (monophyly of Nymphaea) are affected. The latter two nodes should be discussed with care.

## Nymphaea Monophyly and Position within Nymphaeales

The clade consisting of Nymphaea, Ondinea, Victoria, and Euryale is one of the best-supported clades in both the parsi-
mony and Bayesian analyses. This clade was also found in all data partitions by Les et al. (1999). A number of morphological characters are synapomorphic for Nymphaea, Ondinea, Victoria, and Euryale, such as the more or less strongly protruding floral axis (Moseley 1961), tetramerous outer perianth, and order of initiation of sepals and petals (Schneider
et al. 2003). In this study, we call these four closely related genera the core Nymphaeaceae. No clear phenotypic characters are known to date that would support the monophyly of the Nymphaea clade (node 3 in fig. 3). Considering trnT-trnF sequence data, it is one of the nodes with very low jackknife values (table 3) and complete lack of any supporting indel characters. Whereas the inclusion of Ondinea is highly substantiated, additional sequence data are needed to test relationships of Victoria and Euryale with members of the genus Nymphaea as currently circumscribed.

## Relationships among Major Lineages of Nymphaea

The Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade (BAO clade) gains maximum confidence in both parsimony and Bayesian analyses and, with five indels, also has high support from microstructural mutations (fig. 5). Four of the resulting indels are globally synapomorphic, and the internal branch leading to the Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea crown group (fig. 8) is the second-longest branch in Nymphaeaceae, after the branch leading to Barclaya. There are several morphological character states shared among the species of subgg. Brachyceras and Anecphya, such as incomplete carpellary fusion (Caspary 1865, 1888; Conard 1905; Moseley 1961), small to absent capillary appendages, mostly violet flower colors, and slightly sculptured tectum of their pollen grains (Wiersema 1987; T. Borsch and M. Hesse, unpublished data). In this phylogenetic context, the apetalous condition in flowers of Ondinea (Den Hartog 1970; Williamson and Moseley 1989) appears to be derived as reductions in the number of floral organs. Kenneally and Schneider (1983) found still-petalous individuals of Ondinea. This further indicates that genetic changes from an Anecphyalike ancestor to Ondinea may, in fact, be small. A clarification of the origin of Ondinea within subg. Anecphya requires further sequence data from all genomes and further sampling of species within subg. Anecphya.

The Hydrocallis-Lotus clade (HL clade), as inferred from $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ data, also is well supported by several nonmolecular features. Its species have anthers embedded medially on the stamens (Wiersema 1987), conspicuous linear to clavate capillary appendages, nocturnal flowering (Prance and Arias 1975; Wiersema 1988; Hirthe and Porembski 2003), and a completely psilate tectum of their pollen grains (Wiersema 1987). Whether $N$. petersiana shares these character states or retains other plesiomorphic states in the genus requires further study. In contrast to close affinities between subgg. Brachyceras and Anecphya that were already suggested by Conard (1905), the exact affinities between the subgg. Lotos, Hydrocallis, and Nymphaea had remained obscure, depending on which morphological characters were emphasized (Wiersema 1987).

Currently, no phenotypic synapomorphies uniting the BAO and HL clades are known. Despite medium to low support values, both parsimony and Bayesian approaches converge on inferring their close relationship, rendering the subg. Nymphaea clade as sister to all remaining species of Nymphaea. There are a number of features restricted to the species of the temperate subg. Nymphaea clade, including distinctly verrucate pollen (T. Borsch and M. Hesse, unpublished data), seeds lacking hairlike protrusions (Weberbauer 1894; Wiersema 1987; although this may have evolved after the divergence of
N. mexicana), and leaf morphology with exclusively entire margins. It may be noted that the branch leading to the subg. Nymphaea crown group is quite long (fig 8; coming third in Nymphaeaceae), and many morphological features may be derived in this temperate clade.

## Radiations within Major Lineages of Nymphaea

The $\operatorname{trn} T$-trnF-based trees resolve the monophyly of several lineages within Nymphaea with high confidence, whereas relationships within their crown groups (of the temperate subg. Nymphaea clade, Hydrocallis clade, Lotus clade, and Brachyceras-Anecphya-Ondinea clade) are much more difficult to resolve. In addition, evolutionary patterns may be more complex as a result of reticulate evolution. It has been well known for a long time that species of Nymphaea can hybridize within subgenera but not between them (Conard 1905; Wood 1959). However, Doran et al. (2004) and Les et al. (2004) recently confirmed the artificial generation of an intersubgeneric hybrid involving N. colorata and N. gigantea. The two parental species belong to subgg. Brachyceras and Anecphya, respectively, and this hybrid may be anticipated in light of the close relationship between the two subgenera.

Subg. Nymphaea. There are three lineages within temperate subg. Nymphaea, and each are well supported, but relationships among them are unclear. One comprises N. mexicana (sect. Xanthantha) and is depicted as sister to the remaining temperate species (figs. 3, 7). Given that pollen grains of all remaining subg. Nymphaea species have distinct, cylindrical protrusions on all surfaces of the ektexine, including the operculum (T. Borsch and M. Hesse, unpublished data), while N. mexicana has only small protrusions and a glabrous operculum (Wiersema 1987; Gabarayeva and El-Ghazaly 1997), and that all other species of Nymphaea have a psilate tectum, pollen morphology might provide support for the exclusion of $N$. mexicana from a core temperate clade. The adaptation to subtropical climates and long pedunculate flowers in N. mexicana might then be viewed as plesiomorphic character states. However, its large seeds (Wiersema 1987) and extensive development of long stolons seem to be synapomorphic in nature. The second lineage (figs. 3-5) comprises N. odorata s.l., a highly variable species occurring throughout North America to northern Central America (Wiersema 1996). Extensive sampling using chloroplast $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron and nuclear ITS sequences, as well as ISSR fingerprints (Woods et al. 2005a, 2005b) unraveled two chloroplast haplotypes of different geographical distribution, largely corresponding to subsp. odorata and subsp. tuberosa (Paine) Wiersema \& Hellq. In addition, the results of Woods et al. (2005a, 2005b) indicate ancient and recent gene flow among subspecies and provide evidence for possible ancient introgression of an N. mexicana-type ancestral genome into subsp. tuberosa. Within the third lineage, there is no sequence divergence among European populations of N. alba and N. candida (sect. Nymphaea; figs. 4, 5, 8), although both taxa can be distinguished morphologically when comparing individuals from central and north-central (Scandinavia) Europe. The exact distributions of both taxa in Eastern Europe and temperate Asia are not clear, and further species have been accepted in recent floristic surveys such as N. colchica (Gagnidze 2005). The individual of N. candida from Siberia sampled in
this study shows an autapomorphic SSR (fig. 5) in the $\operatorname{trn} T$ $\operatorname{trnL}$ spacer. Further studies need to determine whether different cp haplotypes exist among the Eurasian populations of $N$. alba, N. candida, and allies. The three dwarf species of sect. Chamaenymphaea (Wiersema 1996), which extend to subboreal and boreal regions of the Northern Hemisphere, were represented by only one individual of N. tetragona from Finland in this study. Sequences of $\operatorname{trn} T$-trnF are different in this species (figs. 2, 5, 8), and it is likely that small erect rhizomes, flowers with few tepals (eight to 17), and filaments widest above the middle will be shown as synapomorphic for sect. Chamaenymphaea once other species are included.
Subg. Hydrocallis. Although every species of the subg. Hydrocallis clade possesses several autapomorphic substitutions and often also indels (figs. 3, 5, 7), relationships are hardly resolved with $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequence data. Internal branches in the Hydrocallis crown group are extremely short (fig. 8), indicating potential rapid radiation. Nevertheless, it is obvious that most characters variable within Hydrocallis are located in the terminal AT-rich part of the P8 stem loop of the trnL intron (figs. 2, 4). On the other hand, synapomorphic indels are rare in the AT-rich part of the P8 stem loop (fig. 5), in spite of considerable length variability, so that a lack of resolution could, in addition, be caused by high levels of homoplasy in P8. A clade of $N$. conardii, N. gardneriana, and $N$. glandulifera appears in the Bayesian and parsimony trees (figs. 3, 7), albeit with low support. In the Bayesian tree, N. jamesoniana is further resolved as sister to the three species. On the basis of floral biology, overall floral morphology, distinctive weblike leaf venation, similar chromosome number ( $2 \mathrm{n}=28$ ), seed morphology, and flavonoid profile, a relationship among N. conardii, N. gardneriana, and N. jamesoniana would be expected (Wiersema 1987). The association of N. glandulifera, for which chromosome and flavonoid data are lacking, with these species is supported by its floral morphology and biology but not its leaf venation or seed morphology. For the clade of N. rudgeana, N. lingulata, and N. oxypetala, as evidenced with trnT-trnF, little support from morphology, flavonoid chemistry, or floral biology can be found. A presumed relationship among N. oxypetala and both N. belophylla and N. potamophila based on their sagittate leaf morphology is not supported. Nevertheless, this clade does include two polyploid species (N. rudgeana and $N$. oxypetala) with a chromosome number higher than $2 \mathrm{n}=28$ (Wiersema 1987) and may represent a lineage of derived species. Wiersema (1987) hypothesized that N. rudgeana, because of its unusual chromosome number ( $2 \mathrm{n}=42$ ) and similarities to subg. Lotos in leaf morphology and seed anatomy, might be the result of an ancient hybridization event between an ancestor of subg. Lotos and a former member of the Hydrocallis clade. Sequences of trnT-trnF reveal the N. rudgeana chloroplast genome as clearly nested within Hydrocallis. Further studies using nuclear genes will be needed to clarify whether N. rudgeana is of reticulate origin, with a paternal parent from another lineage. The grouping of N. amazonum, N. novogranatensis, and N. tenerinervia (figs. 3-5, 7) is well supported by morphology. These three species share a number of features, in their phytochemistry, seed morphology, and floral biology, that have been postulated as ancestral within the subgenus (Wiersema 1987). They also share two other presumably derived characters, a powdery stigma and a granulate seed surface topography.

Subg. Lotos. This subgenus constitutes the smallest of the five clades. Nymphaea lotus, represented here by one specimen from West Africa (var. lotus) and two specimens from the hot springs of Hungary (var. thermalis), shows the highest intraspecific variability in the genus, in terms of both substitutions and indels. The typical variety is distributed in Africa and Madagascar (Conard 1905), whereas var. thermalis was primarily separated for geographical reasons. However, there is no clear association between the European individuals in this study. Nymphaea pubescens is shown as sister to N. lotus and is well separated genetically, as indicated by indels (fig. 5) and distinct branches (fig. 8). According to Conard (1905), N. pubescens occurs from India to the Philippines, Java, and Australia and differs from N. lotus by ovate leaf blades (orbicular in $N$. lotus) that are much more densely pubescent beneath than in $N$. lotus. Sequence divergence between $N$. lotus and $N$. pubescens considerably exceeds the variation typically found within species, and because all individuals of $N$. lotus form a statistically supported clade, $\operatorname{trnT}$ - $\operatorname{trnF}$ data provide clear evidence for the distinctness of N. pubescens.

The emergence of $N$. petersiana as sister to the remainder of species in subg. Lotos is surprising but has also been confirmed with a number of other chloroplast (Löhne et al., unpublished data) and nuclear ITS sequences (Borsch 2000). Leaf morphology of N. petersiana sampled from Malawi is similar to subg. Lotos, with margins being toothed and veins distinctly raised from the blade beneath but without the pubescence characteristic of that subgenus. However, the floral morphology of N. petersiana strongly resembles subg. Brachyceras and contrasts with subg. Lotos in its staminal appendages, short-triangular carpellary appendages, and blue pigmentation (Mendonça 1960). This incongruous morphology was commented on nearly a century ago by Gilg (1908), who mentioned that Conard (1905), who had treated this species in synonymy under N. capensis Thunb. of subg. Brachyceras, had annotated a sheet of this plant as a mixture of these two groups. Verdcourt (1989) treated this taxon under N. nouchali of subg. Brachyceras, using its leaf characters to distinguish his var. petersiana (Klotzsch) Verdc. from the other varieties of that species. The Malawan plants have large round tubers that are used as food (Chawanje et al. 2001), but so far, important information on the floral biology, degree of syncarpy, and pollen morphology is lacking for N. petersiana that could shed further light on its subgeneric affinities.

Subg. Brachyceras. Within this subgenus, all New World species sampled are resolved in a clade (node 11 in fig. 3). Nymphaea elegans (from Florida and Texas) and N. ampla (from Veracruz, Mexico) appear closely related and distinct from the Mexican Plateau endemic N. gracilis. The latter species exhibits a distinct seed morphology and more emergent flowers with broader filaments that support this dichotomy. It would be interesting to evaluate further the relationships among these taxa with additional material of $N$. elegans from western Mexico and the widespread N. ampla and N. pulchella DC. from throughout their Neotropical ranges. Until recently (Bonilla-Barbosa 2001; Wiersema 2001, 2003), N. pulchella was generally subsumed under N. ampla. Molecular analysis of further samples of N. amplal N. pulchella will be required to substantiate this classification. Species limits and nomenclature of Old World members of subg. Brachyceras are complex and have been studied only floristically. Of these studies, the treatment by Verdcourt (1989) for East

Africa is perhaps the broadest in its scope but is nonetheless regional in focus and based largely on herbarium study and thus may not completely reflect natural groups. It is interesting that the studied species from central and western Africa ( $N$. micrantha, $N$. heudelotii, N. thermarum) are resolved in a different clade as compared with N. caerulealN. colorata, of a largely eastern African lineage. Using additional sequence data from both chloroplast and nuclear genomes, it remains to be seen whether there is a single African radiation of Brachyceras or whether the two lineages described here have different origins. Two rare yellow-flowered African species of subg. Brachyceras, N. stublmannii (Engl.) Schweinf. \& Gilg and N. sulphurea Gilg, unfortunately were not available for study.
Subg. Anecphya. Three clades are resolved by trnT-trnF data within Nymphaea subg. Anecphya (fig. 3). The two bestsupported of these clades consist of N. atrans and N. immutabilis and N. macrosperma and N. gigantea, respectively. Both of these species pairs are characterized by large seeds, a distinctive gap between petals and stamens, and toothed leaf margins. Jacobs (1992) considered N. immutabilis and $N$. atrans as close relatives and reported frequent natural hybrids with intermediate character states and reduced fertility in areas where both species grow sympatrically. The third, more weakly supported, clade comprising N. violacea, N. elleniae, and N. hastifolia (and Ondinea), is characterized by relatively small seeds, petals grading into stamens, and entire-to-sinuate leaf margins (e.g., Jacobs and Porter, forthcoming). Remarkably, the two samples of $N$. violacea do not form a clade in the present analysis (figs. 3, 5). Ongoing studies on the subgenus Anecphya involving an extended taxon sampling and additional information from the nuclear ITS region (C. Löhne, T. Borsch, S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, and J. H. Wiersema, unpublished data) confirm the polyphyletic nature of N. violacea and provide evidence for ancient and recent hybridization and introgression within subg. Anecphya and, especially, within the small-seeded group of species.

## Classification

In his monograph of the water lilies, Conard (1905) established a classification system of five subgenera within Nymphaea. He used the name Castalia DC. (1821) for the temperate subgenus, which is to be called subg. Nymphaea, as it includes the type of the genus ( $N$. alba L.). The name $H y$ drocallis was originally published by Planchon (1852) as a section, Lotos by De Candolle (1821) as a section, and Anecphya and Brachyceras by Caspary (1865) as a subsection. Conard maintained the circumscription of these five groups but leveled their classification at the same rank within Nymphaea. The results of this first molecular phylogenetic analysis of Nymphaea indicate that, with the possible exception of subg. Brachyceras, all subgenera are monophyletic and should be maintained.
To eliminate one element contributing to the paraphyly of subg. Brachyceras, we propose to shift N. petersiana to subg. Lotos. As already mentioned, although accepted by both Gilg (1908) and Mendonça (1960), N. petersiana was treated as a synonym of $N$. capensis by Conard (1905) and by Verdcourt (1989) as one of five African varieties under the Indian $N$. nouchali Burm. f. In addition to var. petersiana, he also recognized var. ovalifolia (Conard) Verdc., var. caerulea (Savigny)

Verdc., var. mutandaensis Verdc., and var. zanzibariensis (Casp.) Verdc. Unlike for N. petersiana, our $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ data indicate that $N$. colorata (sensu Verdcourt $=N$. nouchali var. zanzibariensis) and N. caerulea Savigny are closely related, thus supporting Verdcourt's (1989) grouping of these two species. However, the status of his var. ovalifolia and var. mutandaensis, which were not sampled, remains unknown.

In subg. Nymphaea, both sect. Chamaenymphaea (dwarf allies of N. tetragona) and sect. Xanthantha ( $N$. mexicana) seem to reflect natural groups, while the typical sect. Nymphaea, as currently circumscribed (N. odorata, N. alba, N. candida), might be paraphyletic to sect. Chamaenymphaea. The nontypical subgroups in subg. Nymphaea were first introduced by Planchon (1853; as Nymphaea sect. Castalia b. Chamaenymphaea) and Caspary (1888; as Nymphaea sect. Symphytopleura c. Xanthantha) and later formalized as sections by Wiersema (1996). To clarify their status, further molecular work is needed to increase resolution of the subg. Nymphaea clade. Our chloroplast data also provide evidence for new groupings in the other subgg. Hydrocallis, Brachyceras, and Anecphya. While these may eventually prove worthy of formal recognition, it would be premature to do so at this time. To fully unravel the extent of hybridization and introgression within subg. Anecphya (C. Löhne, T. Borsch, S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, and J. H. Wiersema, unpublished data) and also within the subgg. Brachyceras and Hydrocallis, the additional study of nuclear markers and multiple individuals from different populations is required.

## Intraspecific Variability and Species Identification with trnT-trnF Sequences

The actual information content of $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequences is much higher than is reflected in hypotheses on species relationships. Many species have a number of autapomorphic nucleotide substitutions and/or indels, which allow their unambiguous identification, even in cases where phylogenetic relationships are not resolved. Species identification with molecular markers functioning as bar codes is now being intensely discussed (e.g., Kress et al. 2005). However, the accuracy of such an approach and the kind of genomic region to be selected require further study. Bar coding in Nymphaea seems particularly interesting, as many species are grown as ornamentals and are difficult to identify in vegetative state. In addition, hybridization within subgenera is frequent, and many cultivars have been obtained through extensive hybrid breeding. For several of the older cultivars (e.g., Director G. T. Moore), the exact origin and possible parents are not definitely known, so separate molecular identification of chloroplast haplotypes and nuclear genotypes could provide an important perspective.

In Nymphaea, this study distinguishes 29 different $\operatorname{trnT-trnF}$ sequences (including those parts located in hotspots and excluded from tree inference). Given that 35 species are sampled, the resolving power of $\operatorname{trn} T-t r n F$ sequences in terms of species identification is $83 \%$. Intraspecific variability has been encountered in $N$. mexicana (one substitution in the $\operatorname{trnL}$-trnF spacer; seven to eight A's in the microsatellite of H8), N. odorata (one substitution in the trnL intron), $N$. lotus (several substitutions and indels in all three partitions of $\operatorname{trn} T-t r n F$ ), N. rudgeana (one substitution in the satellite-like part of P8), and N. gigantea (one substitution and one 4-nt SSR in the $\operatorname{trnL} L-t r n F$ spacer). In all species
represented by several individuals of different geographical origin, such variable positions are outnumbered by substitution and indel characters synapomorphic for all individuals of a species. Intraspecific variability, therefore, is not a barrier to species identification with $\operatorname{trn} T-t r n F$ sequences. In the case of $N$. odorata, one variable position in the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron characterizes chloroplast haplotypes corresponding to morphologically differing subspecies (Woods et al. 2005a). Strikingly different $\operatorname{trn} T-t r n F$ sequences in individuals currently identified as $N$. violacea based on morphology are the result of complex and not yet fully understood evolutionary patterns in subg. Anecphya (C. Löhne, T. Borsch, S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, and J. H. Wiersema, unpublished data). The species names currently used thus reflect a situation that needs clarification through further taxonomic work. For those species that cannot be distinguished by their $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequences but that appear to be morphologically distinct, further sequence and perhaps also fingerprint data need to be generated. These are $N$. belophylla $-N$. potamophila, $N$. heudelotii- $N$. micrantha-N. thermarum, and N. atrans-N. immutabilis. Additional molecular work in conjunction with a careful analysis of phenotypic and autecological characters will be required in the case of $N$. alba-N. candida and N. caerulea-N. colorata, where the circumscription of biological entities and the application of names is uncertain.

## Conclusions and Future Directions

This phylogenetic analysis of Nymphaea and the Nymphaeales based on $\operatorname{trn} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequence data underscores the importance of a dense taxon sampling. This is exemplified by the surprising finding that Ondinea is nested within subg. Anecphya. Further research is needed to clarify the nearest relatives of Ondinea within subg. Anecphya. In particular, the monophyly of Nymphaea with respect to the Euryale-Victoria clade also needs to be further tested by additional sequence characters, particularly from different genomic regions. This $\operatorname{trnT} T-\operatorname{trnF}$ study will provide the basis to select a range of appropriate species to be analyzed with a high number of characters that represent all major lineages of water lilies.

In agreement with molecular clock dating (Yoo et al. 2005), it can be accepted that the extant genera of the Nymphaeales represent a comparatively recent radiation, beginning in the Tertiary. However, the high support of core Nymphaeaceae from molecular and morphological characters and the short
branches above the core Nymphaeaceae node indicate a second phase of rapid radiation in Nymphaeales, after initial radiation of the Nymphaeales crown group. This second phase involves the three major lineages now clearly recognized in Nymphaea and also the Euryale-Victoria clade. Recognizing Nymphaea as a morphologically diverse and biogeographically complex genus changes the picture of major clades within Nymphaeales. Because a considerable time span exists between the divergence of the Nymphaeales stem from the angiosperm backbone and its radiation into its extant diversity, the question of what the innovations of the Nymphaeales stem are, as compared with synapomorphies that evolved later within the crown group, becomes relevant. Reconstructing phenotypic character evolution will therefore have to be based on all major lineages that can now be recognized within Nymphaeales.
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## Appendix A

## List of Indels Found in the trnT-trnF Region

## The trnT-trnL Spacer

1. "TGGG" indel in Amborella. According to the matrix from Borsch et al. (2003), it is also present in the gymnosperms. Thus, it appears to be a 4 -nt deletion in the angiosperms above Amborella.
2. "TCWAC" present in all Nymphaeales, which may be the result of an early duplication event with subsequent substitutions.
3. Overlapping with 2 . The gap in Amborella is 4 nt .
4. "CACATA"-SSR, completely present only in Austrobaileya (motif from pos. 2-13).
5. "A"-SSR, present in Illicium floridanum and overlapping with 4.
6. "ATATCTATCTATAT" indel in Amborella, which may be the result of several-length mutational events in the Amborella lineage involving adjacent sequence motifs. Nevertheless, they cannot be inferred.
7. "CTCACATAACATAA"-SSR in Cabomba.
8. "CATAA"-SSR in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Barclaya, overlapping with 7.
9. Eight nucleotides missing in Amborella relative to Nymphaea, which has "AAATAAAA." Not possible to explain in one step. There is also no clear repeat motif.
10. Seven nucleotides missing in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra; overlapping but not applicable in Amborella.
11. Six nucleotides missing in Cabomba, Brasenia, Nuphar spp., and Barclaya; overlapping but not applicable in Amborella, Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra.
12. Six nucleotides missing in Amborella relative to Cabomba, Brasenia, Nuphar, and Barclaya. No motif recognizable.
13. Three nucleotides missing in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra relative to Cabomba, Brasenia, Nuphar, and Barclaya, overlapping with 12 .
14. Two nucleotides missing in Amborella, whereas all remaining taxa have "KA." From this data set, it is not possible to infer whether this indel is apomorphic to Amborella Austrobaileyales plus Nymphaeales.
15. "TTAG"-SSR specific to Nymphaea gigantea (NY067).
16. "AAAATAAGTGAGTTAGTTCA"-SSR specific to N. gardneriana (NY026).
17. "GGGATCTTAGMTTATT"-SSR specific to Brasenia schreberi but overlapping with Cabomba.
18. "T"-SSR specific to Cabomba but overlapping with Brasenia.
19. "AATT" present in Amborella.
20. "CCGATCGGA" present in Amborella but a 9-nt gap in most other angiosperms but overlapping with 20 in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra.
21. "C" present in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra, resulting in an 8-nt gap in these three taxa.
22. "TATGAATATSAAT" present in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra. Because similar motifs to reversed adjacent sequences are present, the indel could be the result of an ancient inverted repeat.
23. "CTTATTAT"-SSR in Cabomba.
24. "CTTATTA"-SSR in Barclaya, overlapping with 23.
25. Big gap in Amborella (length cannot be determined exactly).
26. A 12 -nt gap in many taxa except Nuphar, overlapping with 25 .
27. Gap unique in Schisandra but overlapping with 25.
28. Gap unique in Cabomba but overlapping with 25-27.
29. Gap in Barclaya, not present in Nuphar spp. but overlapping with 25-28.
30. Gap of 3 nt in Illicium but extending into hotspot; overlapping with 25 .
31. Gap of 19 nt in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 25 (but both terminals different).
32. Gap of 8 nt in Austrobaileya and Schisandra, overlapping with 25 and 31.
33. "GGAT"-SSR in Barclaya.
34. "RTAAT"-SSR in Austrobaileya; the terminal nucleotide is substituted.
35. Five-nucleotide gap in Amborella, Austrobaileya, Illicium, and Schisandra. Nymphaeales have "TTATG."
36. One-nucleotide gap in Nuphar.
37. "KATTT"-SSR in Nuphar. A substitution in the flanking nucleotide in Nuphar japonica.
38. "GGAGA"-SSR in Schisandra; it is clearly a repeat structure, although the repeat motif has an additional "G" as compared with the adjacent sequence.
39. One-nucleotide gap in Schisandra.
40. A large gap in Barclaya, Victoria, Euryale, and Nymphaea.
41. A 1-bp shorter gap in Cabomba, Brasenia, and Nuphar, overlapping with 40 . Interestingly, it is a fixed length difference in a polyAT strand.
42. "GAAAA"-SSR in Illicium, overlapping with 40 and 41.
43. "AA"-SSR, present in all angiosperms above Amborella. PolyA situation.
44. "A"-SSR in Illicium; polyA situation.
45. Three- to four-nucleotide gap in Schisandra, overlapping with 44.
46. One nucleotide in Nymphaeales ("R" in Aborella and Austrobaileyales).
47. One-nucleotide deletion in Schisandra (polyA situation).
48. "G"-SSR in Cabomba.
49. "TGGATATTC" present in Amborella but not in the other angiosperms. Motif not clear at this point.
50. One-nucleotide gap in Brasenia.
51. "CTATATTG"-SSR in Amborella.
52. "AATCATT"-SSR in Amborella.
53. "CTGATT"-SSR in Nymphaeales.
54. "TGAAC"-SSR in N. odorata.
55. "G"-SSR in Illicium.
56. "(TA)KATARAG"-SSR in Cabomba and Brasenia.
57. "AAGAK" present in Amborella and Nymphaeales, perhaps deletion in Nymphaeales.
58. "TAA + AAA" present in Amborella. Could be the result of a double repeat event.
59. "AGAAAGAA" present in Amborella.
60. Gap 1 nt shorter present in Austrobaileya, Cabomba, and Nuphar, overlapping with indel 59.
61. "GTKMAAA"-SSR present in Nymphaea subg. Nymphaea.
62. Obviously deletion of 8 nt in Cabomba and Brasenia and also in N. colorata and N. caerulea.
63. Obviously deletion of 7 nt in Schisandra, overlapping with 62.
64. Obviously deletion of 1 nt in polyT situation in Cabomba, Brasenia, and Nuphar.
65. Gap of 2 nt in Amborella, Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra.
66. Gap of one "C," which is obviously deleted adjacent to a polyT in Victoria; overlapping with 65.
67. Gap of 1 nt in Amborella.
68. Obviously deletion in Euryale, at the minimum 6 nt .
69. "TTTAA"-SSR in Nuphar japonica and Nuphar lutea, overlapping with 68 .
70. Gap of at minimum 5 nt in Amborella.
71. "TGAATT"-SSR in Austrobaileya, overlapping with 70.
72. Deletion of at minimum 5 nt in Cabomba.
73. "GGAA"-SSR in N. candida NY109; overlapping with 72.
74. "AAAGAG+G"-SSR in N. petersiana; the downstream "G" can be explained only by a second SSR step.
75. At minimum 5-nt deletion in Cabomba.
76. "AAAT" present in Amborella, overlapping with 75.
77. A 4-nt deletion in Cabomba.
78. A 2-nt deletion in Nuphar.
79. "СТТА" present in Amborella.
80. At least 4-nt deletion in Austrobaileya.
81. "CAAA"-SSR in Cabomba, overlapping with 80.
82. An "A"-SSR in Illicium, overlapping with 81.
83. An at least 3-nt deletion in Schisandra, overlapping with 82-80.
84. An at least 5-nt deletion in Amborella and Barclaya.
85. "GGAAA" in Victoria and Euryale. Probably an insertion but of unknown origin.
86. "G"-SSR in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra.
87. "RAAG" present in Lotos, Brachyceras, and Anecphya.

## The trnL Intron

1. "T"-SSR in Illicium, overlapping with 2 .
2. "TGTTT"-SSR in Cabomba, overlapping with 1.
3. Probably deletion of at least 8 nt in Cabomba, overlapping with 4.
4. "AAGTATTTCT" insertion in Illicium, of unknown origin and overlapping with 3.
5. A 2-nt deletion in Illicium (other taxa have "GG"); check whether this indel has something to do with 4.
6. A 7-nt indel in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra, overlapping with 7.
7. A 5-nt indel in Amborella, overlapping with 6.
8. "TAGAA"-SSR in Illicium.
9. An 8- to $10-\mathrm{nt}$ indel in Amborella, overlapping with 10 and 11.
10. Nuphar lutea is 3 nt shorter relative to Illicium and Austrobaileya and 1 nt relative to other Nymphaeales (1-nt deletion, in polyA situation).
11. "AA"-SSR in Illicium and Austrobaileya.
12. "GATAGG"-SSR in Schisandra.
13. "AATG"-SSR in Nuphar.
14. A 2-nt indel in Cabomba, Brasenia, Nuphar, and Barclaya and "CY" in Amborella + Austrobaileyales, overlapping with 15.
15. A 1-nt indel in Victoria, Euryale, and Nymphaea, overlapping with 14.
16. "AAGAW"-SSR in Cabomba.
17. A single "A" present in Illicium, origin unknown.
18. "GRAA"-SSR in Amborella.
19. A 4-nt deletion in Austrobaileya.
20. A 1-nt gap in Amborella.
21. "G" in Amborella and "A" in Austrobaileyales, whereas Nymphaeales have a gap.
22. "AGAA"-SSR in Illicium.
23. "TATR" probably ancient SSR in Amborella and Austrobaileyales; deletion in Nymphaeales?
24. "GGTATTG" in Amborella, gap in all other taxa; similar motifs occur in adjacent sequence parts, but repeat character is not evident.
25. "CTGAAATATCAA"-SSR in Brachyceras, Ondinea, and Anecphya.
26. Deletion of "TTAAT" in Nymphaea mexicana.
27. Deletion of "CGAAT" in N. mexicana; analyze structure and check whether 26 and 27 are connected.
28. Deletion of 2 nt in Nuphar (the first position is still in the P8 hotspot).
29. A 1-nt gap in Cabomba, probably deletion.
30. "TTGTG"-SSR in Nymphaeales.
31. Gap of at least 4 nt in Amborella; other taxa have "AATC"; overlapping with 32-34.
32. "AAAATA"-SSR in N. conardii, N. gardneriana, and N. glandulifera, overlapping with 31.
33. "AAATAT+AATATTA"-double SSR present in Illicium, overlapping with 31 and 34.
34. "AAATAT"-SSR, present in Schisandra, overlapping with 31.
35. "ATTAAG"-SSR in Illicum.
36. "AGRCTGGGAK" in Nymphaeales but gap in Amborella and Austrobaileyales; motif of microstructural change unclear.
37. Probably 13-nt deletion in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 38.
38. "TGAAGA"-SSR in Austrobaileyales, overlapping with 37.
39. Eight-nucleotide deletion in Barclaya.
40. "AGAAT"-SSR in Amborella.
41. "TTTG"-SSR in Nymphaeales.

## The trnL-trnF Spacer

1. "CCCA"-SSR in Nymphaea lotus thermarum (NY003), repeated from $\operatorname{trn} L$ exon.
2. "AG+TCCCCA"-SSR in N. colorata and N. caerulea.
3. "CTAAAAAACA" in Schisandra, of unknown origin.
4. "AGAAAAAAGAATTTTTTTWAAAAGK" in Austrobaileya, of unknown origin.
5. "ATAAA"-SSR in Illicium, overlapping with 5.
6. "A"-SSR in Amborella, overlapping with 4.
7. "WAAAW"-SSR in Illicium, overlapping with 8 and 9.
8. "AAAG"-SSR in Amborella, overlapping with 7 and 9.
9. "AAA"-SSR in Schisandra, overlapping with 7 and 8.
10. A 2-nt deletion in Austrobaileya, overlapping with 11.
11. A 1-nt deletion in Illicium and Schisandra, overlapping with 10.
12. A 1-nt deletion in Austrobaileya.
13. At minimum 1-nt deletion in Austrobaileya, overlapping with 14 and 15.
14. "ATTTTCT" similar motifs in adjacent sequences but origin unclear.
15. "C"-SSR in Amborella.
16. "TTTTK"-SSR in Austrobaileya but "TTKCK"-SSR in Cabomba and Brasenia, overlapping with 17.
17. "T"-SSR in Illicium and Nuphar, overlapping with 16.
18. Big deletion in Barclaya longiflora.
19. "TTTTCK"-SSR in Cabomba, overlapping with 18.
20. "AKCCTCTTTTTTCGCCA"-SSR in N. rudgeana, N. lingulata, N. oxypetala, N. lotus subsp. lotus, N. lotus subsp. thermalis (N105), and N. pubescens (N406). Infraspecific variation in N. lotus points to homoplasy. Mechanism?
21. "AAATW"-SSR in Euryale and Victoria, overlapping with 18 and 22.
22. "GCGCTTCC()AAATTAGA" in Victoria, Euryale, Nymphaea, and Ondinea but missing in other taxa.
23. "AGAA"-SSR in N. petersiana, overlapping with 18.
24. "TAAACTAAAAC" present in Amborella; similar motifs in adjacent sequence but mechanism unclear.
25. "CAAATT"-SSR in Schisandra.
26. "GATAT"-SSR in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 27.
27. "T"-SSR in Schisandra. Could be a polyT situation (upstream are three T's) and thus independent from 26.
28. Probably 5-nt deletion in Brachyceras, Ondinea, and Anecphya; others have "STTTC."
29. Big deletion in Illicium.
30. "GATATGTTTATCATTC"-SSR in N. lotus thermalis (NY003), overlapping with 29.
31. Probably 4- to 5 -nt deletion in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 29 and 32.
32. A 1-nt gap in Amborella relative to Austrobaileya and Schisandra.
33. A 2-nt deletion in Barclaya, overlapping with 29.
34. A 2-nt gap in Amborella, overlapping with 29.
35. A large gap in Austrobaileya and Schisandra, overlapping with 29.
36. A 2-nt gap in Amborella, overlapping with 29 and 35.
37. "TGTTGTTATTGTGAT" in Amborella, overlapping with 29 and 35 but gap in Nymphaeales.
38. "GCAGTAT"-SSR in N. violacea NY135, overlapping with 29 and 35.
39. "T"-SSR in Cabomba, overlapping with 29 and 35.
40. Big gap in Amborella, termini specific to Amborella, overlapping with $41,29,+$.
41. Gap of 1 nt in Cabomba, overlapping with 40, 29, 35.
42. "MCATAA"-SSR in Brachyceras, Ondinea, and Anecphya, overlapping with 40 and 29.
43. A 6- to 8-nt gap in Austrobaileya and Schisandra, overlapping with 29 and 40 .
44. A 2-nt gap (other taxa have "AT"), overlapping with 29, 40, and 43.
45. "ACATAACCATAACATATGTA+TATGGTA"-SSR in N. macrosperma and N. gigantea.
46. Gaps in N. petersiana, N. pubescens, and Hydrocallis, overlapping with 29 and 40.
47. A gap of 2 nt .
48. An about 6-nt gap in Austrobaileya, Schisandra, Euryale, and subg. Nymphaea, overlapping with 29, 40, and 46.
49. A 5-nt gap present only in Cabomba, overlapping with 29, 40, 46,48 , and 49.
50. A gap of 4 nt in Brasenia and Nuphar.
51. "TG"-SSR in Barclaya, overlapping with 29, 40, 46, 47, and 48.
52. "WTGATT"-SSR in Cabomba, overlapping with 29 and 40.
53. "ATAWAT" in Austrobaileya and Schisandra, overlapping with 29, 40, and 54.
54. "WGKATA" in Austrobaileya, Schisandra, and Cabomba, overlapping with 29 and 40.
55. "TGTA"-SSR in Ondinea, overlapping with 29 and 40.
56. Gap of 2 nt in Schisandra, overlapping with 29 and 40.
57. At minimum a gap of 4 nt in Brasenia, overlapping with 29 and 40.
58. "GAASATAK" in Austrobaileya, Schisandra; Amborella has only "AACATAT" (gap 40) and Cabomba only "C."
59. Relative to 58, a 7-nt gap in Cabomba.
60. "TTTGTATACAAG"-SSR in N. lingulata, overlapping with 29 and 40.
61. "YCCCCA"-SSR in Schisandra, overlapping with 29.
62. A 1-nt gap in Nymphaeales, whereas Amborella, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra have a "C," a polyC situation, overlapping with 29.
63. "TTTAAT"-SSR in N. hastifolia.
64. A 14-nt gap in Illicium, overlapping with 65.
65. A 10-nt gap in Amborella, overlapping with 64.
66. Gap of 14 nt in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 67-69.
67. Gap of 6 nt in Illicium, overlapping with 66,68 , and 69 .
68. Gap of 3 nt in Amborella and Austrobaileya, overlapping with 66 and 67.
69. Gap of 1 nt in Amborella, overlapping with 66 and 67.
70. "YAAA"-SSR in Illicium, Austrobaileya, and Schisandra.
71. "ACAAAG"-SSR in subg. Hydrocallis and subg. Lotos, except N. pubescens and N. petersiana.
72. "A"-SSR in N. lotus, overlapping with 71.
73. "ABAAACA" in Illicium and Schisandra, overlapping with 74.
74. "TAAACA" in Austrobaileya, overlapping with 73; could be of repeat origin but highly modified.
75. "AAATAAAGG"-SSR in N. violacea (NY110) and N. elleniae, overlapping with 76.
76. "AAAGG"-SSR in Amborella, overlapping with 75.
77. "GTCAAATCCAA" present in Amborella; motif could be derived from repeats but highly modified.
78. Large gap in Amborella, overlapping with 79, 81, and 82.
79. A 7-nt indel with "AGAAAA" in Nymphaeales, which could be of repeat origin because similar motifs are adjacent.
80. A large gap in Nymphaeales, overlapping with 81 and 82; 79 also overlaps but has two different terminals.
81. A 7-nt gap in Austrobaileya, overlapping with 78, 80, and 82.
82. A 1-nt gap in Illicium, overlapping with 78,80 , and 81 .
83. "TTAGTACCTTTAAA"-SSR in Victoria.
84. "ATTTAGTTTAG" in Amborella, similar motifs occur adjacently, so that some repeat events might have occurred in generating this sequence.
85. "AAWTG"-SSR in Nymphaeales.
86. "CACA"-SSR in Barclaya.
87. An 8-nt deletion in Brasenia.
88. "CTCCA"-SSR in Barclaya.
89. "ATRCA"-SSR in Amborella.

## The P8 Stem Loop in the trnL Intron

1. "TCTTATTTATAATTATTAATATAT"-SSR in Nymphaea violacea (NY135).
2. "ATKAAATAT" in all BOA species except $N$. violaea $135, N$. atrans, and N. immutabilis.
3. "TTMAATAT"-SSR in Ondinea, N. hastifolia, N. violacea 110, and N. elleniae.
4. "TAATTAATA"-SSR in N. belophylla, N. tenerinervia, N. potamophila, N. rudgeana, N. lingulata, and N. oxypetala.
5. A 25-nt gap in N. gardneriana.
6. An 18-nt gap in N. lingulata, overlapping with 5.
7. A 16-nt gap in N. oxypetala, N. conardii, N. glandulifera, and N. jamesoniana, overlapping with 5 and 6 .
8. A 9-nt gap in N. rudgeana, overlapping with 5-7.
9. "TATA"-SSR in N. petersiana.
10. "TAA" in N. pubescens and N. petersiana but lacking in N. lotus; motif unclear except inverted repeat.
11. An 8 -nt gap in N. pubescens, overlapping with 12 and 13.
12. A 1-nt gap in $N$. lotus thermalis; could be a "T"-SSR in $N$. lotus.
13. A 4-nt gap in N. petersiana, overlapping with 11 ; motif not really clear. (Indel 27 from trnL extends into P8 by 3 nt ; it is not coded here, as it was already coded for $\operatorname{trnL}$.)
14. "TA"-SSR in N. mexicana, overlapping with 15.
15. "TATATA" present in all but $N$. odorata.
16. A 20-nt gap in N. tetragona, overlapping with 17 ; probably deletion.
17. "TAATTAATATT" in N. mexicana; can be partially explained by a repeated motif.

## Binary Matrix of Indels Coded
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Nymphaea trnT-trnL, 87 indels:
Nymphaea trnT-trnL, 87 indels:
Amborella_trichopoda_N116_AY145324
Illicium_floridanum_N117_AY145325 Austrobaileya_scandens_N115_AY145326 Austrobaileya_Scandens_N115_A
Schisandra_rubi_N151_AY145327 Cabomba_caroliniana_N112_AY145328 Brasenia_schreb_N106_AY145329 Nuphar japoni N107 AY145330 Nuphar_1utea_N107_AY1453 advena_N080_AY145351 Nuphar_specBoWieb_N108 Barclaya_longiflora_N376 Victoria_N316

Victoria_Longwood_N378
Euryale_ferox_N015 0 Nymphaea_La_NY056

Nymphaea_alba_NY061
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Nymphaea_candida_NY062
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Nymphaea_odorata_odo_N012_AY145333 Nymphaea_odorata_tub_NY2 69 Nymphaea_mexicana_NY0 69 NY027 Nymphaea_tenerinervia_NY140 Nymphaea_amazonum_NY428 Nymphaea_novogranatensis_NY021 Nymphaea_potamophila_NY389 Nymphaea_rudgeana_NY032 Nymphaea_lingulata_NY029 Nymphaea_oxypetala_NY387 Nymphaea_conardii_NY022 Nymphaea_gardneriana_NY026 Nymphaea_glandulifera_NY390 Nymphaea_jamesoniana_NY071 Nymphaea_jamesoniana_NY098 Nymphaea_lotus_therm_NY03 Nymphaea_lotus_therm_NY105 Nymphaea_pubescens_NY406 Nymphaea_petersiana_NY058 Nymphaea_gracilis_NY025
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Nymphaea_gracilis_NY429 Nymphaea_elegans_NYOO6 Nymphaea_elegans_NY370 Nymphaea_pulchella_NY100
Nymphaea_colorata_NY122 Nymphaea_caerulea_NY113 Nymphaea_micrantha_NY007 Nymphaea_cf_nouchalii_NY066 Nymphaea_thermarum_N1 Ondinea_purpurea_N377 Nymphaea_violacea_8230_NY110 Nymphaea_violacea_8230_NY110
Nymphaea_elleniae_8227_NY137 Nymphaea_elleniae_NY103 Nymphaea_violacea_N1135 Nymphaea_macrosperma_NY127 Nymphaea_gigantea_NY0 67 Nymphaea_gigantea_NY126
Nymphaea_atrans_8212_NY102 Nymphaea_imm_at_NY121 Nymphaea_immutabilis_NY136 Nymphaea trnL intron, 41 indels: Amborella_trichopoda_N116_AY145324 Illicium_floridanum_N11__AY145325 Schisandra_rubi_N151_AY145327 Cabomba_caroliniana_N112_AY145328 Brasenia_schreb_N106_AY145329 Nuphar_Japonica_N400 Nuphar_lutea_N107_AY145330 Nuphar_advena_N080_AY145351 Nuphar_specBoWieb_N108 Barclaya_longiflora_N376 Victoria_N316

## Victoria_Longwood_N378

## Euryale_ferox_N015

Nymphaea_tetragona_NY060 Nymphaea_alba_NY056
Nymphaea_alba_NY061 Nymphaea_candida_NY063 Nymphaea_candida_NY062 Nymphaea_candida_NY109 Nymphaea_odorata_odo_N012_AY145333 Nymphaea_odorata_tub_NY269 Nymphaea_mexicana_NY069 Nymphaea_belophylla_NY027 Nymphaea_tenerinervia_NY140 Nymphaea_amazonum_NY428
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Table B1

| Nymphaea_macrosperma_NY127 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110110111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nymphaea_gigantea_NY067 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110110111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| Nymphaea_gigantea_NY126 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110110111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| Nymphaea_atrans_8212_NY102 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110010111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| Nymphaea_imm_at_NY121 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110010111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| Nymphaea_immutabilis_NY136 | -0000-00-1111000-10001000110100-111000011110010111-0-00110-00-0110---00-0-000110-10010100 |
| Indels from P8, 17 indels: |  |
| Amborella_trichopoda_N116_AY145324 |  |
| Illicium_floridanum_N117_AY145325 | ---------------- |
| Austrobaileya_scandens_N115_AY145326 | ---------------- |
| Schisandra_rubi_N151_AY145327 | ---------------- |
| Cabomba_caroliniana_N112_AY145328 | ----------------- |
| Brasenia_schreb_N106_AY145329 | ---------------- |
| Nuphar_japonica_N400 | ---------------- |
| Nuphar_lutea_N107_AY145330 | ----------------- |
| Nuphar_advena_N080_AY145351 | ---------------- |
| Nuphar_specBoWieb_N108 |  |
| Barclaya_longiflora_N376 | ----------------- |
| Victoria_N316 |  |
| Victoria_Longwood_N378 |  |
| Euryale_ferox_N015 | ----------------- |
| Nymphaea_tetragona_NY060 | -----------010- |
| Nymphaea_alba_NY056 | -----------0110 |
| Nymphaea_alba_NY061 | -----------0110 |
| Nymphaea_candida_NY063 | ----------0110 |
| Nymphaea_candida_NY062 | ----------0110 |
| Nymphaea_candida_NY109 | -------------0110 |
| Nymphaea_odorata_odo_N012_AY145333 | --------------010 |
| Nymphaea_odorata_tub_NY269 | --------------010 |
| Nymphaea_mexicana_NY069 | -------------1111 |
| Nymphaea_mexicana_KN0 08 | -------------1111 |
| Nymphaea_belophylla_NY027 | ---11111--------- |
| Nymphaea_tenerinervia_NY140 | ---11111--------- |
| Nymphaea_amazonum_NY428 | ---01111--------- |
| Nymphaea_novogranatensis_NY021 | ---11111--------- |
| Nymphaea_rudgeana_NY032 | ---11110--------- |
| Nymphaea_rudgeana_NY124 | ---11110--------- |
| Nymphaea_lingulata_NY029 | ---110----------- |
| Nymphaea_oxypetala_NY387 | ---1110---------- |
| Nymphaea_conardii_NY022 | ---0110---------- |
| Nymphaea_gardneriana_NY026 | ---00------------ |
| Nymphaea_glandulifera_NY390 | ---0110---------- |
| Nymphaea_jamesoniana_NY071 | ---0110---------- |
| Nymphaea_jamesoniana_NY098 | ---0110---------- |
| Nymphaea_lotus_therm_NY003 | --------00101---- |
| Nymphaea_lotus_therm_NY105 | --------00101---- |
| Nymphaea_lotus_lotus_NY078 | --------00111---- |
| Nymphaea_pubescens_NY406 | --------010------ |
| Nymphaea_petersiana_NY058 | --------111-0---- |

Appendix C
Table C1

| Taxon | Field/garden origin | Voucher | Code | GenBank no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angiosperms (other than Nymphaeales): |  |  |  |  |
| Amborella trichopoda Baill. | University of California, Santa Catarina Bot Gard | T. Borsch 3480 (BONN, VPI) | N116 | AY145324 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Austrobaileya scandens C. T. White | Bonn Bot Gard 09789 ex BG Zürich | T. Borsch 3464 (BONN) | N115 | AY145326 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Illicium floridanum J. Ellis | USA, Florida | T. Borsch \& V. Wilde 3104 (VPI, FR) | N117 | AY145325 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Schisandra rubriflora Rehder \& E. H. Wilson | Bonn Bot Gard 0727 ex BG Munich | T. Borsch 3477 (BONN) | N151 | AY145327 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nymphaeales (other than Nymphaea): |  |  |  |  |
| Barclaya longifolia Wall. | Water gardening source | C. Löhne 60 (BONN) | NY376 | AM422019 |
| Brasenia schreberi J. F. Gmel. | USA, Virginia | T. Borsch \& T. Wieboldt 3311 (VPI, FR) | NY106 | AY145329 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Cabomba caroliniana A. Gray | USA, Virginia | J. C. Ludwig s.n. (VPI) | NY112 | AY145328 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Euryale ferox Salisb. | Bonn Bot Gard (14010) | T. Borsch 3830 (BONN) | NY015 | AM422020 |
| Nuphar advena (Aiton) W. T. Aiton | USA, Florida | T. Borsch \& V. Wilde 3093 (FR) | NY080 | AY145351 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm. | Germany, Hesse | . Borsch 3337 (FR) | NY107 | AY145330 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nuphar advena (Aiton) W. T. Aiton subsp. advena | USA, Virginia | T. Borsch \& T. Wieboldt 3298 (VPI, BONN) | NY108 | AM422021 |
| Nuphar japonica DC. | Bonn Bot Gard [aquarium plant] | C. Löhne 61 (BONN) | NY400 | AM422022 |
| Ondinea purpurea Hartog | Australia, Western Australia | S. W. L. Jacobs \& C. B. Hellquist 8853 (NSW) | NY377 | AM422023 |
| Victoria cruziana A. D. Orb. | Bonn Bot Gard | C. Löhne 55 (BONN) | NY316 | AM422024 |
| Victoria "Longwood Hybrid" | Bonn Bot Gard | T. Borsch 3831 (BONN) | NY378 | AM422025 |
| Nymphaea subg. Hydrocallis: |  |  |  |  |
| N. amazonum Mart. \& Zucc. | Mexico, Veracruz | A. Novelo R., J. H. Wiersema, C. B. Hellquist \& C. N. Horn 1281 (MEXU) | NY428 | AM422026 |
| N. belophylla Trickett | Colombia, Meta | U. Schmidt-Mumm 942 (no voucher) | NY027 | AM422027 |
| N. conardii Wiersema | Mexico, Veracruz | A. Novelo R., J. H. Wiersema, C. B. Hellquist \& C. N. Horn 1306 (MEXU) | NY022 | AM422028 |
| N. gardneriana Planch. | Guyana, Upper Takutu-Upper Essequibo Distr. | C. N. Horn \& J. H. Wiersema 10084 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY026 | AM422029 |
| N. glandulifera Rodschied Guyana. | Pomeroon Distr. | C. N. Horn \& J. H. Wiersema 4523 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY390 | AM422030 |
| N. lingulata Wiersema | Guyana, Upper Takutu-Upper Essequibo Dist. | C. N. Horn \& J. H. Wiersema 11000 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY029 | AM422031 |
| N. jamesoniana Planch. | USA, Florida | T. Borsch \& B. Summers 3220 (BONN, MO) | NY071 | AM422032 |
| N. jamesoniana Planch. | Ecuador | M. Schwerdtfeger (BONN, GOET) | NY098 | AM422033 |
| N. novogranatensis Wiersema | Mexico, Oaxaca | A. Novelo R. \& J. H. Wiersema 1187 (MEXU) | NY021 | AM422034 |
| N. oxypetala Planch. | Bolivia, Santa Cruz | N. Ritter, G. E. Crow, M. Garvizu, \& C. Crow 4491 (NHA) | NY387 | AM422035 |
| N. potamophila Wiersema Guyana | Upper Takutu-Upper Essequibo Distr. | C. N. Horn \& J. H. Wiersema 11090 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY389 | AM422036 |
| N. rudgeana G. Mey. | Guyana, Mahaica-Berbice Distr. | C. N. Horn, S. Hill, \& D. Gopaul 10045 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY032 | AM422037 |
| N. rudgeana G. Mey. | BG Bonn 1088 [Guyana] | Koehnen s.n. (BONN) | NY124 | AM422038 |
| N. tenerinervia Caspary | Guyana, Upper Takutu-Upper Essequibo Distr. | C. N. Horn \& J. H. Wiersema 11086 (US, BRG, NBYC) | NY140 | AM422039 |
| Nymphaea subg. Lotos: |  |  |  |  |
| N. lotus L. var. thermalis (DC.) Tuzson. | Bonn Bot Gard 11547-11 [Romania] | T. Borsch 3832 (BONN) | NY003 | AM422040 |
| N. lotus L. var. thermalis (DC.) Tuzson. | Bonn Bot Gard 05553 [Romania] | T. Borsch 3833 (BONN) | NY105 | AM422041 |
| N. lotus L. var. lotus | Ivory Coast | S. Porembski s.n. (no voucher) | NY078 | AM422042 |
| N. pubescens Willd. | Australia, Northern Territory | S. W. L. Jacobs 8798 (NSW) | NY406 | AM422043 |
| Nymphaea subg. Brachyceras: |  |  |  |  |
| N. ampla (Salisb.) DC. | Mexico, Veracruz | A. Novelo R., J. H. Wiersema, C. B. Hellquist, \& C. N. Horn 1295 (MEXU) | NY100 | AM422044 |
| N. caerulea Savign. | Bonn Bot Gard 13783 | T. Borsch 3834 (BONN) | NY113 | AM422045 |
| N. cf. colorata Peter | Bonn Bot Gard 1073 | T. Borsch 3835 (BONN) | NY122 | AM422046 |

Table C1

| Taxon | Field/garden origin | Voucher | Code | GenBank no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. elegans Hook. | USA, Florida, Collier Co. | T. Borsch \& V. Wilde 3084 (FR) | NY006 | AM422047 |
| N. elegans Hook. | USA, Louisiana, Cameron Parish | T. Borsch \& K. Woods 3424 (BONN, VPI) | NY370 | AM422048 |
| N. gracilis Zucc. | Mexico, Michoacan | A. Novelo R., J. H. Wiersema, C. B. Hellquist, \& C. N. Horn 1346 (MEXU) | NY025 | AM422049 |
| N. gracilis Zucc. | Mexico, Jalisco | A. Novelo R., J. H. Wiersema, C. B. Hellquist, \& C. N. Horn 1314 (MEXU) | NY429 | AM422050 |
| N. micrantha Guill. \& Perr. | Bonn Bot Gard 5830 [Zimbabwe] | M. Koehnen s.n. (BONN) | NY007 | AM422051 |
| N. heudelotii Burm. f. | Bonn Bot Gard 14244 [Rwanda] | E. Fischer s.n. (BONN) | NY066 | AM422052 |
| N. petersiana Klotzsch | Malawi | C. Chawanje s.n. (BONN, FR) | NY058 | AM422053 |
| N. thermarum Eb. Fisch. | Bonn Bot Gard 12088 [Rwanda] | E. Fischer s.n. (BONN) | NY065 | AM422054 |
| Nymphaea subg. Anecphya: |  |  |  |  |
| N. atrans S. W. L. Jacobs | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema 8212 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY102 | AM422055 |
| N. elleniae S. W. L. Jacobs | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema 8224 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY103 | AM422056 |
| N. elleniae S. W. L. Jacobs | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema 8227 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY137 | AM422057 |
| N. gigantea Hook. | Bonn Bot Gard 1728 | T. Borsch 3836 (BONN) | NY067 | AM422058 |
| N. gigantea Hook. | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs \& C. B. Hellquist 8357 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY126 | AM422059 |
| N. hastifolia Domin | Australia, Northern Territory, Darwin | J. H. Wiersema \& C. B. Hellquist s.n. (no voucher) | NY134 | AM422060 |
| N. immutabilis S. W. L. Jacobs | Australia, Queensland, Cabbage Creek | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema s.n. (no voucher) | NY121 | AM422061 |
| N. immutabilis S. W. L. Jacobs | Australia, Queensland, Mt. Molloy | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema s.n. (no voucher) | NY136 | AM422062 |
| N. macrosperma Merr. \& L. M. Perry | Australia, Northern Territory | C. B. Hellquist, J. H. Wiersema, \& K. Brennan 16181 (MASS) | NY127 | AM422063 |
| N. violacea Lehm. | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema 8230 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY110 | AM422064 |
| N. violacea Lehm. | Australia, Queensland | S. W. L. Jacobs, C. B. Hellquist, \& J. H. Wiersema 8213 (NASC, NSW, BRI) | NY135 | AM422065 |
| Nymphaea subg. Nymphaea: |  |  |  |  |
| $N$. alba L. | Germany, Bavaria, Luttensee | T. Borsch 3339 (BONN) | NY056 | AM422066 |
| $N$. alba L. | Finland, Nylandia, Porvoo | T. Borsch 3151 (BONN, H) | NY061 | AM422067 |
| N. candida C. Presl | Finland, Tavastia australis, Katloisteryärvi | T. Borsch 3154 (BONN, H) | NY062 | AM422068 |
| N. candida C. Presl | Finland, Tavastia australis, Maaranyärvi | T. Borsch 3152 (BONN, H) | NY063 | AM422069 |
| N. candida C. Presl | Russia, Siberia | C. B. Hellquist s.n. (MASS) | NY109 | AM422070 |
| N. mexicana Zucc. | USA, Florida | T. Borsch \& B. Summers 3226 (BONN, VPI) | NY069 | AM422071 |
| N. mexicana Zucc. | USA, Texas | K. Woods \& T. Borsch 701 (BONN, VPI) | KN008 | AM422072 |
| N. odorata Aiton subsp. odorata | USA, Georgia, Okefenokee Swamp | T. Borsch \& V. Wilde 3132 (BONN, VPI) | NY012 | AY145333 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| N. odorata Aiton subsp. tube rosa (Paine) Wiersema \& Hellq. | Canada, Manitoba | T. Borsch, J. H. Wiersema, \& C. B. Hellquist 3389 (BONN, NASC) | NY269 | AM422073 |
| N. tetragona Georgi | Finland, Tavastia australis, Kanajärvi | T. Borsch 3155 (BONN, H) | NY060 | AM422074 |


 DNA accession and should facilitate comparison, as the same DNAs are also used in other studies. GenBank numbers were obtained for sequences generated here except as noted. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sequences taken from Borsch et al. (2003).
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